



Government Office for Science

Government Office for Science
10 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0NN

+44 (0)20 7215 5000 - Public enquiries
+44 (0)20 7215 6740 - Textphone
(for those with hearing impairment)

Date: 20/09/2021

Ref no: **GOS-COV-110921-0247**

Thank you for your email of 13/09/2021 where you requested the following information:

*"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Government Office of Science describing the **purification** of any **"COVID-19 virus"** (aka **"SARS-COV-2"**, including any alleged **"variants"** i.e. **"B.1.1.7"**, **"B.1.351"**, **"P.1"**) (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).*

*Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:*

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on all the RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- fabricated a "genome" by editing/assembling/aligning sequences detected in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.*

*For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a "virus" without host cells.*

*Further, I am **not** requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification (separation)** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).*

*Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by The Government Office of Science or that pertain to work done at/by The Government Office of Science. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by The Government Office of Science and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".*

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible."

Response

Your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act) and is based on information held.

Under the Act, we are required under section 1(1)(a) to confirm or deny whether the information is held.

I confirm we do not hold the information you have requested.

Appeals procedure

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date of receipt of the response to your original request and should be addressed to:

Government Office for Science Internal Reviews
Government Office for Science
10 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0NN
Email: foi.reviews@go-science.gov.uk

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

Government Office for Science



Cabinet Office

Room 405
70 Whitehall
London, SW1A 2AS

foi-team@cabinetoffice.gov.uk
www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk

Bartholomeus Lakeman

By email: request-666330-641ae299@whatdotheyknow.com

FOI Reference: FOI2020/06375

22/06/2020

Dear Bartholomeus Lakeman

I refer to your request where you asked:

"1) Is there an electron micrograph of the pure and fully characterised virus (SARS-CoV-2)?

2. What is the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper in which said virus is illustrated and its full genetic information described?

3. What is the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper which provides unequivocal proof that the 'Covid-19' virus is the sole cause of a particular disease?

4. Where is (if there is proof of SARS-CoV-2) its antibody test that fulfils the Koch postulates and has a false positive below 30%; that can confirm being infected by SARS-CoV-2?"

I am writing to advise you that following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by the Cabinet Office.

You may wish to try contacting Public Health England at the following link: FOI@phe.gov.uk, who may be able to help you with your request.

If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request or wish

to request an internal review, you should write to:

Eirian Walsh Atkins
Cabinet Office
70 Whitehall
London
SW1A 2AS

email: foi-team@cabinetoffice.gov.uk

You should note that the Cabinet Office will not normally accept an application for internal review if it is received more than two months after the date that the reply was issued.

If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Generally, the Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted the complaints procedure provided by Cabinet Office. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in grey ink, appearing to read 'Eirian Walsh Atkins', is positioned below the 'Yours sincerely' text.

FOI Team
Cabinet Office

19 October 2021

Director Public Health
East Midlands Leicestershire – Mike Sandys

mike.sandys@leics.gov.uk

This is my wish for access to records.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the **purification** (i.e. via filtration and ultra-centrifugation and chromatography) of any “**COVID-19 virus**” (aka “SARS-COV-2”, including any alleged “variants” i.e. “B.1.1.7”, “B.1.351”, “P.1”) directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient **sample was not first** combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate **proving a causal link** between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected “virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am **not** requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification** (**separation** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study **authored by**

anyone, anywhere. This includes your own **website** which claims to have the virus isolated ; given your body corporate/politick receives publick treasure, the worldwide 'interest' and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for publick record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: REDACTED

First name: REDACTED

Address: REDACTED

Phone: REDACTED

Email: REDACTED

All rights reserved

[FOI/3507] Purification of COVID-19 virus

From: leicestershire@infreemation.co.uk <leicestershire@infreemation.co.uk>

To: @p .me < >

Date: Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at 12:37

Dear V ,

We are writing to you in relation to your request for information received on 19th October 2021. Unfortunately, we do not hold the information you have requested.

With regards to reports published in medical journals / databases, we would suggest searching for the relevant reports online.

Kind regards,

FOI Team
Leicestershire County Council



Government Office for Science

Government Office for Science
10 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0NN

+44 (0)20 7215 5000 - Public enquiries
+44 (0)20 7215 6740 - Textphone
(for those with hearing impairment)

Date 2/10/20
Ref no: GOS-COV-040920-0068

Thank you for your email of 4/9/20 where you requested the following information:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of the Government Office for Science describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or*
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or*
- the sequencing of something.*

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the Government Office for Science or that pertain to work done by the Government Office for Science. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the Government Office for Science has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it"

Response

We do not hold the information you have requested. This information may be available from DHSC ([contact](#)) or PHE ([contact](#)).

Appeals procedure

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal

review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date of receipt of the response to your original request and should be addressed to:

Government Office for Science Internal Reviews
Government Office for Science
10 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0NN
Email: foi.reviews@go-science.gov.uk

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF

Yours Sincerely

Government Office for Science

Dear House of Commons,

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and or under your authority, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly taken from a symptomatic patient of COVID-19 where the sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (not limited but by way of example monkey kidney cells, aka vero cells, liver cancer cells) thereby eliminating contamination as a possible alternative source of sampling.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring, and hence not requesting, to isolation meaning the culture of something else, the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of "something".

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

I remind you full, accurate and complete disclosure is required.

Yours faithfully,

Marc Horn

1 Attachment



image001.png

16K [Download](#)

Dear Mr Horn,

Freedom of Information Request F20-347

Thank you for your request for information as copied below. You have asked for information describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus.

This information is not held by the House of Commons.

It may help you to understand that the House of Commons is an organisation that forms part of the UK's legislature. Our role it is to make and debate laws, scrutinise the work of the Government and debate the issues of the day. Whilst this may involve carrying out research into those issues, it does not extend to practical scientific investigation. You are seeking information which might instead be held by the Department of Health and Social Care or Public Health England, and therefore you may wish to consider submitting your request under the Freedom of Information Act to them. Contact details can be found at

[1]<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisati...>

and [2]<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisati...>

However, it may interest you to know that the House of Commons Library and the Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology have undertaken research on the Covid-19 outbreak, for the purpose of informing Members of Parliament. This has information on the subject in general which you may find helpful and is publicly available at

[3]<https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/cor...> and

[4]<https://post.parliament.uk/category/anal...>

You may, if dissatisfied with the handling of your request, complain to the House of Commons. Alternatively, if you are dissatisfied with the outcome of your request you may ask the House of Commons to conduct an internal review of any decision regarding your request. Complaints or requests for internal review should be addressed to: Information Rights and Information Security Service, Research & Information Team, House of Commons, London SW1A 0AA or [5][House of Commons request email]. Please ensure that you specify the full reasons for your complaint or internal review along with any arguments or points that you wish to make.

If you remain dissatisfied, you may appeal to the Information Commissioner at Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF, [6]www.ico.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely,

IRIS Officer
Information Rights and Information Security

House of Commons, London SW1A 0AA
[7][IMG]

Dear House of Lords,

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and or under your authority, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly taken from a symptomatic patient of COVID-19 where the sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (not limited but by way of example monkey kidney cells, aka vero cells, liver cancer cells) thereby eliminating contamination as a possible alternative source of sampling.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring, and hence not requesting, to isolation meaning the culture of something else, the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of “something”.

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

I remind you full, accurate and complete disclosure is required.

Yours faithfully,

Marc Horn

1 Attachment



FOI 3462 Response.pdf

78K [Download](#) [View as HTML](#)

Dear Mr Horn,

Please find attached our response to your request (copied below) to the House of Lords Administration.

You may, if dissatisfied with the treatment of your request, ask the House of Lords to conduct an internal review. This should be addressed to [1][\[email address\]](#) and explain clearly the nature of your complaint in terms of compliance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Arrangements will be made for someone who has not been involved in dealing with your request to conduct an internal review within 20 working days.

You should note that we will not normally accept an application for internal review if it is received more than two months after the date our response was sent. Any such request received after this time will only be considered in exceptional circumstances.

If, following this review, you remain dissatisfied with the House's treatment of your request for information you may then take your complaint to the Information Commissioner using the contact details available [2][here](#).

Please note that due to the Covid-19 pandemic our office is temporarily closed, and the FOI team are currently unable to receive correspondence sent by post.

The Information Commissioner's Office has also advised that their office is closed for the foreseeable future and that they are currently unable to receive correspondence by post.

Yours sincerely,

Kimberley Swift

Information Compliance Team

House of Lords

List of records describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus

Request:

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and or under your authority, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly taken from a symptomatic patient of COVID-19 where the sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (not limited but by way of example monkey kidney cells, aka vero cells, liver cancer cells) thereby eliminating contamination as a possible alternative source of sampling.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring, and hence not requesting, to isolation meaning the culture of something else, the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of “something”.

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

Response:

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 (“the FOIA”) provides a right of access, subject to specified exemptions, to recorded information held by a public authority. In the case of the House of Lords, the rights of access apply to recorded information held by the House of Lords Administration.

The House Administration does not hold any information matching the description set out in your request.

Outside the scope of your request, you may be interested in the House of Lords Science and Technology Committee’s current inquiry into the science of COVID-19. Information relating to the inquiry, including oral and written evidence that has been submitted to the Committee, is published on our website here:

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/293/the-science-of-covid19/>

Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: foi@imperial.ac.uk

Thu, Feb 11, 2021 at 2:25 PM

February 11, 2021

To:
Freedom of Information Officer
Level 4, Faculty Building
Imperial College London
South Kensington
London SW7 2AZ
foi@imperial.ac.uk

Dear Freedom of Information Officer,

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the *Freedom of Information Act, 2000*.

Description of Requested Records:

All records **in the possession, custody or control of Imperial College London** describing the isolation of **any variant** ("new" or "old") of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" / "COVID-19 virus", directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: *the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else*. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, and/or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or
- the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by Imperial College London or that pertain to work done at/by Imperial College London. Rather, my request includes any record **matching the above description**, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed **by Imperial College London**.

If any records **match the above description** of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey

First name: Christine

Address:

Phone:

Email:

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.

RE: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-85

IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>

Fri, Feb 12, 2021 at 6:01 AM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Dear Ms Massey,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your request below, made under the Freedom of Information Act. The College will respond to your request by 12 March.

Yours,

Freedom of Information Team

[Imperial College London](#)

Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-85

IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>

Fri, Mar 12, 2021 at 12:07 PM

To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Dear Ms Massey,

Thank you for your Freedom of Information Act request, which was as follows:

*All records in the possession, custody or control of Imperial College London describing the isolation of **any variant** ("new" or "old") of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" / "COVID-19 virus", directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).*

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- ② the culturing of something, and/or*
- ② the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or ② the sequencing of something.*

*Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by Imperial College London or that pertain to work done at/by Imperial College London. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by Imperial College London.*

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Part of your request is asking Imperial College to locate for you and then compile a directory of all scientific papers on the isolation of the COVID-19 virus where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material. In addition, you have asked the college for details of "any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by Imperial College London". Imperial College does not hold a directory or document listing every resource on this topic produced by "anyone, ever, anywhere". Neither do we hold a record of every document that has ever been downloaded or printed by staff or students of the College. The Freedom of Information Act provides a right to access existing records held by public authorities; it does not extend to a right to have public authorities create information in order to respond to requests.

Scientific papers on this topic produced by Imperial College or others are generally in the public domain and thus already accessible to you. Information is exempt from the Freedom

of Information Act (Section 21) if it is already reasonably accessible to the requester. You can view Imperial College COVID-19 publications (which will contain references to other published papers where relevant) on our [website](#).

If you are unhappy with the way that we have handled your request, you can ask us to conduct a review. Please make your representation in writing within 40 days of the date you received this response. If you remain dissatisfied with how Imperial College has handled your request, you may then approach the Information Commissioner's Office.

Yours,

Freedom of Information Team
[Imperial College London](#)

Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-85

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>

Fri, Mar 12, 2021 at 12:55 PM

Dear "Team",

Thank you for your response, however it is insufficient and I require further assistance in accordance with the *Freedom of Information Act, 2000*.

Be advised that all responses/nonresponses from the "Team" will be made public.

The response you have provided thus far reflects very poorly on your institution and your "Team" because it either feigns misunderstanding of a perfectly clear and reasonable request (that has already been understood quite perfectly by [dozens of institutions around the world](#)), or it demonstrates gross incompetence and utter lack of intelligence.

In case of the latter: I have **only** requested **existing records** held by public authorities ("*All records in the possession, custody or control of Imperial College London ...*").

There are no separate "*parts*" to my request. The remainder of my **Description of Requested Records** was clarification of my 1 request.

I did not request a "directory" or a "document listing every resource on this topic produced by "anyone, ever, anywhere"", or "a record of every document that has ever been downloaded or printed by staff or students of the College", or that anyone "create information" for me.

As you acknowledge, the Act provides a right to access existing records held by public authorities and exempts information **if it is already reasonably accessible to the requester**. To my knowledge, no responsive records exist; obviously a requester **cannot** reasonably access records when, to their knowledge, those records do not even exist.

Further, I remind you that Section 1 of the Act states:

General right of access to information held by public authorities.

***(1) Any person making a request for information to a public authority is entitled —
(a) to be informed in writing by the public authority whether it holds information of the description specified in the request, and
(b) if that is the case, to have that information communicated to him.***

Thus the College has a "**duty to confirm or deny**" and you are presently in violation of that duty.

Therefore, I look forward to a response from the College that is in accordance with *the Act*.

Thank you and best wishes,
Christine

IMPF01 Tue, Mar 16, 9:47 AM

Dear Ms Massey,

I refer to your email below. I manage Freedom of Information Act requests for Imperial College and handle FOI Internal Reviews for the College.

I hope that we will be able to achieve clarity on your request and provide you with any information to which you are entitled. It would be helpful to that process if you could refrain from insulting members of the team. As the author of the request, no doubt it is clear to you what information you wish to access, however, having reviewed your request, it does read to me as if you are asking the College to compile a catalogue of any document that has ever been downloaded or printed by Imperial College staff or students that describes the isolation of the virus. If that was not your intention, perhaps you could take this opportunity to provide some clarification and explain what you are hoping to access? If you could provide more detail about the information that you are hoping to access, the context of your request or your reason for asking, that might help us to conduct a targeted search.

We explained in our response to you that we do not keep records of what material has been printed or downloaded by our staff and students. In order for us to deal with your request in its present form we would need to ask all staff and students at the College whether they had downloaded or printed information on the isolation of the virus and ask them to provide details. As the college has about 20,000 students and 8,000 members of staff that approach is not practical. The exemption at Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act, which provides that organisations need not respond to Freedom of Information Act requests if it would take more than 18 hours to extract and compile the information requested, would apply.

While your request refers to information held by "Imperial College", I presume the focus would be on information held by academics and researchers in the relevant field, not *any* member of Imperial College staff or the College students. We could approach the relevant academics at the College to ask them if they hold any information within the scope of your request. Our response to your request included a link to the College's pages on our COVID-19 response. Perhaps you could identify with reference to that, the academics or academic discipline/s that would be likely to hold the information that you are seeking?

You may find the Information Commissioner's Office guidance on [how to access information from a public body](#) helpful. You might want to bear in mind that public authorities are not obliged to respond to requests if it would take more than 18 hours to locate, collate or extract the information requested (Section 12, Freedom of Information Act), if responding to the request would cause a public authority an unjustified or disproportionate level of disruption (Section 14) or if the request is for information that is already reasonably accessible (Section 21).

Yours,
Anita Hunt

Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-85

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>

Tue, Mar 16, 2021 at 7:05 PM

Dear Anita,

Thank you for your message.

I am not expecting anyone to ask 20,000 students and 8,000 members about their download history or to do anything that would take more than 18 hours.

Imperial College London has played a key role in "COVID-19" via the modeling of Professor Neil Ferguson. Also the Deputy Director of National Infection Service and Director of Reference Microbiology Services at Public Health England, Maria Zambon, is a virologist and professor at Imperial College, and she is co-author on the infamous Corman-Drosten paper that is behind most of the "COVID-19" PCR tests performed around the world.

Therefore, a reasonable person might hope and expect that someone on staff at the College would have done their due diligence to ensure that the alleged "deadly virus" does in fact exist (with isolation/purification - as described in my request - being an essential piece of the necessary science) and that responsive records would thus be in the possession, custody or control of the College. However as I explained in my last email, to my knowledge, no such records exist anywhere on the planet. If such records do in fact exist and are held by the College, then I (and many other people around the world) require access to them.

So, you are correct in presuming that the focus would be on information held by academics and researchers in the relevant field, not *any* member of Imperial College staff or the College students.

Since I am not familiar with how your institution or the records of your institutions are organized, and do not even expect that any such records exist, I'm afraid I'm not in a position to advise on how best to conduct a reasonable search at the College. However Professors Ferguson and Zambon would seem a reasonable place to start. If they do not know of any such records then they could advise you on how best to continue with the search or whether there is even any point in continuing.

Thank you and best wishes,
Christine

RE: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-151

IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Mar 17, 2021 at 2:09 PM

Dear Ms Massey,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your request below, made under the Freedom of Information Act. The College will respond to your request by 16 April.

Yours,

Freedom of Information Team
[Imperial College London](#)

RE: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-151

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>

Wed, Mar 17, 2021 at 3:15 PM

Dear Anita and the Imperial College London Freedom of Information Team,

You just responded (as shown below this email) to an email thread with the subject line **Re: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-85** that is obviously a discussion of a request that I first submitted to your institution **over a month ago (February 11, 2021)**, and you implied that the email that you responded to is a new request when it clearly is not. You also assigned a new email subject line that indicates a new file number **IMPFOI-21-151** and stated your intention to take yet another month to provide a response to my February 11, 2021 request.

Which provision of the *Freedom of Information Act, 2000* allows for such a handling of a request that is already 5 weeks old?

Be advised once again that all responses/nonresponses from the "Team" will be made public.

Thank you and best wishes,
Christine

RE: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-151

IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 18, 2021 at 9:16 AM

Dear Ms Massey,

I apologise that we did not expressly state in our acknowledgement that we would be treating your revised request as a new request.

We replied to your Freedom of Information Act (our re. IMPFOI-21-85) on March 16. Following our correspondence, you have refined your request to focus on information that might be held by Professors Ferguson and Zambon. We regard this as a different request and have recorded it as such, hence the new reference number and timescale.

Yours,

Anita Hunt

Access to Information Manager

Central Secretariat

[Imperial College London](#) | South Kensington Campus | Faculty Building Level 4 | London SW7 2AZ

Tel: +44 (0)20 7594 5107

RE: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-151

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 18, 2021 at 5:06 PM

To: IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>

Dear Anita,

I'm quite certain that any reasonable person would view our ongoing communications as a discussion of my 1 and only request (which has not changed in any way) and would agree that the College has still not fulfilled its "*duty to confirm or deny*" as per Section 1 of the Act.

Regarding one of the earlier emails from you (or your Team), it is not clear to me why you ever brought up the issue of 20,000 students and 8,000 members of staff. Surely papers downloaded or printed by ICL students are their own business and not subject to the Act.

For future reference, would you please clarify - does the College take the position that anything downloaded or printed **by a student** or **any staff member** is in the possession, custody or control of the College and hence subject to the Act and potentially responsive to my and other information requests? I ask this because my request specifically asked for "*All records in the possession, custody or control of Imperial College London describing the isolation of any variant ("new" or "old") of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" / "COVID-19 virus" ...*"

And for future reference, is the College in the habit of canvassing 20,000 students and 8,000 members of staff (or, taking the position that it would be necessary to canvass 20,000 students and 8,000 members of staff) in response to records requests as specific as mine?

Or is my request receiving special treatment?

Thank you and best wishes,
Christine

RE: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-151

IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 19, 2021 at 11:25 AM

Dear Ms Massey,

You would be entitled to make a complaint to the Information Commissioner's Office if you believe that the College has not complied with its obligations under the Freedom of Information Act.

I referred to the number of staff and students at the College in order to explain why it would not be possible to deal with your request in its original form within the FOI time -limit.

The College's position on the application of the Freedom of Information Act is determined by the guidance from and decisions of the Information Commissioner's Office and decisions of the courts. Further information on the application of the legislation can be found on the [ICO's website](#).

Imperial College aims to fully comply with our legal obligations in relation to all FOI requests received, we do not give special treatment to any requests.

Yours,

Anita Hunt

Access to Information Manager
Central Secretariat

[Imperial College London](#) | South Kensington Campus | Faculty Building Level 4 | London SW7 2AZ

Tel: +44 (0)20 7594 5107

RE: Freedom of Information request re "SARS-COV-2" isolation, IMPFOI-21-151

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: IMPFOI <foi@imperial.ac.uk>

Fri, Mar 19, 2021 at 12:45 PM

Dear Anita,

Thank you.

I won't bother making a complaint to the Information Commissioner's Office because I'm quite certain that would be a waste of my time.

What I will do is make our entire communications public and let thinking men and women decide for themselves whether or not "The Team" has handled my records request in a reasonable manner.

Best wishes,
Christine

19 October 2021

Director Public Health
London Hammersmith and Fulham – Nicola Lang (acting/interim)

nicola.lang@lbhf.gov.uk

This is my wish for access to records.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the **purification** (i.e. via filtration and ultra-centrifugation and chromatography) of any “**COVID-19 virus**” (aka “SARS-COV-2”, including any alleged “variants” i.e. “B.1.1.7”, “B.1.351”, “P.1”) directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient **sample was not first** combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate **proving a causal link** between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected “virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am **not** requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification** (**separation** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study **authored by anyone, anywhere**. This includes your own **website** which claims to have the virus

isolated ; given your body corporate/politick receives publick treasure, the worldwide 'interest' and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for publick record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: [REDACTED]

First name: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Phone:


Email: [REDACTED]

All rights reserved

Assessment and care coordination

Hammersmith & Fulham Council
Town Hall, King Street
Hammersmith
London W6 9JU

Reference: 2029609
Email: icaseworkinformation@lbhf.gov.uk
Date: 20 October 2021



Dear 

Freedom of Information Act 2000

This request is being handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

I am writing to advise you that, following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham.

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

We do not have any records that relate to your query

Please quote the reference number 2029609 in any future communications.

If you aren't happy with the council's response, please contact H&F within 40 working days of us sending you our response, with details of why you're unhappy.

You can do this by:

- visiting H&F's website: <https://www.lbhf.gov.uk/councillors-and-democracy/complaints>
- emailing handfintouch@lbhf.gov.uk
- writing to the Resident Experience team, Hammersmith Town Hall, King Street, London, W6 9JU

Your concerns will be passed to H&F's Information Management Team to consider as an internal review. There's no charge for requesting an internal review.

If you are still dissatisfied with the Council's response after the internal review you have a right of appeal to the Information Commissioner by:

- Writing to the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO), Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF
- Calling their helpline: 0303 123 1113
- Visiting the ICO's website www.ico.org.uk/concerns/

The ICO are the UK's independent authority set up to uphold information rights in the public interest.

I will now close your request as of this date.

Yours sincerely

Sarah O'Neill
Business and Project Manager
Social Care and Public Health

Dear Prime Minister's Office,

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and or under your authority, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly taken from a symptomatic patient of COVID-19 where the sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (not limited but by way of example monkey kidney cells, aka vero cells, liver cancer cells) thereby eliminating contamination as a possible alternative source of sampling.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring, and hence not requesting, to isolation meaning the culture of something else, the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of “something”.

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

I remind you full, accurate and complete disclosure is required.

Yours faithfully,

Marc Horn

Dear Mr Horn

You request - FOI2020/10121 - was replied to on the 18/8/2020 - on behalf of the Cabinet Office as a whole.

Regards

FOI Team

Room 405

70 Whitehall,

London, SW1A 2AS

E-mail -[1][Number 10 request email]

On Sat, 22 Aug 2020 at 06:50, Marc Horn

<[2][FOI #679701 email]> wrote:

Dear Prime Minister's Office,

You are in breach of your legal duties and obligations of providing the required information by the 21 August 2020 to allow full accountability of your actions to the public.

Please immediately correct your breach within the next 3 working days.

Yours faithfully,

Marc Horn



11 October 2021

Freedom of Information Request Reference FOI-1360708

Thank you for your request dated 11 September 2021 in which you asked the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC):

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Department of Health and Social Care describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- * cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- * performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on all the RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- * fabricated a "genome" by editing/assembling/aligning sequences detected in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- * produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.*

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by The Department of Health and Social Care or that pertain to work done at/by The Department of Health and Social Care. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by The Department of Health and Social Care and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing 'virus'."

Your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

DHSC does not hold the information you have requested. This is because we are not the appropriate authority to contact regarding this matter. However, you may wish to contact the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA), which may hold information relevant to your request. UKHSA can be contacted via InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk.

However, outside of the scope of the FOIA, you may be interested in the following information.

The World Health Organisation has compiled a comprehensive database of research information in relation to Coronavirus, which is available at the following link: (Global research on coronavirus disease (COVID-19)):

[Global research on coronavirus disease \(COVID-19\) \(who.int\)](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/)

You may also be aware that Go-Science regularly publishes the scientific evidence supporting the UK Government response to Covid-19 on the GOV.UK website at this link:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/government-office-for-science>

If you are not satisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to appeal by asking for an internal review. This should be sent to FreedomOfInformation@dhsc.gov.uk or to the address at the top of this letter and be submitted within two months of the date of this letter.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communication.

If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may complain directly to the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO). Generally, the ICO cannot make a decision unless you have already appealed our original response and received our internal review decision. You should raise your concerns with the ICO within three months of your last meaningful contact with us.

The ICO can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow SK9 5AF

<https://ico.org.uk/concerns/>

Yours sincerely,

Dorothy Crowe

Freedom of Information Officer
freedomofinformation@dhsc.gov.uk



Department
of Health &
Social Care

Freedom of Information Team
Department of Health and Social Care
39 Victoria Street
London SW1H 0EU

www.gov.uk/dhsc

[REDACTED]
4 September 2020

Dear [REDACTED]

Freedom of Information Request Reference FOI-1247803

Thank you for your request dated 9 August, in which you asked the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC):

"All records in the possession, custody or control of The Department of Health and Social Care describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- * the culturing of something,*
- * or the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test),*
- * or the sequencing of something.*

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the The Department of Health and Social Care or that pertain to work done by The Department of Health and Social Care. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the Department of Health and Social Care has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it).

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me."

Your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

DHSC does not hold the information you have requested.

You may wish to direct your request to Public Health England (PHE) and the Government Office for Science (Go-Science). FOI requests can be submitted to PHE at FOI@phe.gov.uk, and to Go-Science at contact@go-science.gov.uk.

If you are not satisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to appeal by asking for an internal review. This should be submitted within two months of the date of this letter and sent to FreedomOfInformation@dhsc.gov.uk, or to the address at the top of this letter.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communication.

If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may complain directly to the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO). Generally, the ICO cannot make a decision unless you have already appealed our original response and received our internal review decision. You should raise your concerns with the ICO within three months of your last meaningful contact with us.

The ICO can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

<https://ico.org.uk/concerns/>

Yours sincerely,

Lauren Der
Freedom of Information Officer
FreedomOfInformation@dhsc.gov.uk



Department of Health & Social Care

Freedom of Information Team
Department of Health and Social Care
39 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0EU

www.gov.uk/dhsc

Mr Horn
[request-679704-
a9f26e86@whatdotheyknow.com](mailto:request-679704-a9f26e86@whatdotheyknow.com)

24 August 2020

Dear Mr Horn,

Freedom of Information Request Reference FOI-1243364

Thank you for your request dated 25 July 2020 in which you asked the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC):

Subject: Freedom of Information request - Full, accurate and complete disclosure of SARS-COV-2 virus records

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and or under your authority, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly taken from a symptomatic patient of COVID-19 where the sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (not limited but by way of example monkey kidney cells, aka vero cells, liver cancer cells) thereby eliminating contamination as a possible alternative source of sampling.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring, and hence not requesting, to isolation meaning the culture of something else, the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of “something”.

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

I remind you full, accurate and complete disclosure is required.

Your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

DHSC does not hold information on the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus.

However, outside of the scope of the FOIA, and on a discretionary basis, the following information has been advised to us, which may be of interest. Most infectious diseases are caused by viruses, bacteria or fungi. Some bacteria or fungi have the capacity to grow on their own in isolation, for example in colonies on a petri dish. Viruses are different in that they are what we call “obligate pathogens” – that is, they cannot survive or reproduce without infecting a host. An explainer of these different types of pathogen (disease causing agents) can be found from BMC Biology here: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5648414/>

For some diseases, it is possible to establish causation between a microorganism and a disease by isolating the pathogen from a patient, growing it in pure culture and reintroducing it to a healthy

organism. These are known as “Koch’s postulates” and were developed in 1884. However, as our understanding of disease and different disease-causing agents has advanced, these are no longer the method for determining disease causation. It has long been known that viral diseases cannot be identified in this way as viruses cannot be grown in ‘pure culture’. When a patient is tested for a viral illness, this is normally done by looking for the presence of antigens, or viral genetic code in a host with molecular biology techniques.

If you have any queries in relation to the above discretionary information, we suggest that you may wish to contact the Government Office for Science (Go-Science). FOI requests may be submitted to Go-Science at contact@go-science.gov.uk.

If you are not satisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to appeal by asking for an internal review. This should be submitted within two months of the date of this letter and sent to FreedomOfInformation@dhsc.gov.uk, or to the address at the top of this letter.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communication.

If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may complain directly to the Information Commissioner’s Office (ICO). Generally, the ICO cannot make a decision unless you have already appealed our original response and received our internal review decision. You should raise your concerns with the ICO within three months of your last meaningful contact with us.

The ICO can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner’s Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

<https://ico.org.uk/concerns/>

Yours sincerely,

Dorothy Crowe

Freedom of Information Officer
E: freedomofinformation@dhsc.gov.uk



Department of Health & Social Care

Freedom of Information Team
Department of Health and Social Care
39 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0EU

www.gov.uk/dhsc

Mr Athanasios Kandas
[request-701707-135425ec@whatdotheyknow.com](#)

23 November 2020

Dear Mr Kandas,

Freedom of Information Request Reference FOI-1266157

Thank you for your request dated 25 October 2020 in which you asked the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC):

Subject: Freedom of Information request - Documents held showing SARS-COV2 has been isolated and causes COVID-19

Under the Freedom of Information Act, I wish to be provided with the following information: All records in the possession, custody or control of DHSC, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using isolation in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where isolation of SARS-COV-2 refers *instead* to:

- the culturing of something, or***
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or • the sequencing of something.***

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the DHSC or that pertain to work done by the DHSC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the DHSC has downloaded or printed.

Please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it).

Your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

DHSC does not hold this information.

However, outside of the scope of the FOIA, and on a discretionary basis, the following information has been advised to us about the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, which may be of interest:

Most infectious diseases are caused by viruses, bacteria or fungi. Some bacteria or fungi have the capacity to grow on their own in isolation, for example in colonies on a petri dish. Viruses are different in that they are what we call “obligate pathogens” – that is, they cannot survive or reproduce without infecting a host. An explainer of these different types of pathogen (disease

causing agents) can be found from BMC Biology here:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5648414/>

For some diseases, it is possible to establish causation between a microorganism and a disease by isolating the pathogen from a patient, growing it in pure culture and reintroducing it to a healthy organism. These are known as “Koch’s postulates” and were developed in 1884. However, as our understanding of disease and different disease-causing agents has advanced, these are no longer the method for determining disease causation. It has long been known that viral diseases cannot be identified in this way as viruses cannot be grown in ‘pure culture’. When a patient is tested for a viral illness, this is normally done by looking for the presence of antigens, or viral genetic code in a host with molecular biology techniques.

If you have any queries in relation to the above discretionary information, we suggest that you contact the Government Office for Science (Go-Science). FOI requests may be submitted to Go-Science at contact@go-science.gov.uk.

You may be aware that Go-Science regularly publishes the scientific evidence supporting the UK Government response to Covid-19 on the GOV.UK website at this link

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/government-office-for-science>

World Health Organisation research information is also available here (by following the links ‘Research and Development’ > ‘Global research database’):

https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019?gclid=EAlalQobChMIv6Hs2pnF6QIVD-3tCh3KqwfMEAAAYASAAEgLuB_D_BwE

If you are not satisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to appeal by asking for an internal review. This should be submitted within two months of the date of this letter and sent to FreedomOfInformation@dhsc.gov.uk, or to the address at the top of this letter.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communication.

If you are not content with the outcome of your internal review, you may complain directly to the Information Commissioner’s Office (ICO). Generally, the ICO cannot make a decision unless you have already appealed our original response and received our internal review decision. You should raise your concerns with the ICO within three months of your last meaningful contact with us.

The ICO can be contacted at:

The Information Commissioner’s Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

<https://ico.org.uk/concerns/>

Yours sincerely,

Dorothy Crowe

Freedom of Information Officer
E: freedomofinformation@dhsc.gov.uk

WhatDoTheyKnow

Full, accurate and complete disclosure of records showing that the claimed SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of COVID-19

[Marc Horn](#) made this Freedom of Information request to [House of Commons](#)

[House of Commons](#) **did not have** the information requested.

Marc Horn 28 July 2020

Delivered

Dear House of Commons,

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and / or under your authority, supporting the claim that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of the disease called COVID-19, including but not limited to isolation of SARS-COV-2 virus and its identification method as confirmation.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring to, and hence not requesting, isolation meaning the culture of something else or the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of “something”.

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

I remind you full, accurate and complete disclosure is required.

Yours faithfully,

Marc Horn

FOI Commons, House of Commons 29 July 2020

1 Attachment

FOI Commons, House of Commons 12 August 2020

1 Attachment

image001.png

16K [Download](#)

Dear Mr Horn,

Freedom of Information Request F20-353

Thank you for your request for information as copied below. You have asked for records supporting the claim that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of the disease called COVID-19.

Some information is held by the House of Commons in relation to your request.

The House of Commons Library and the Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology have undertaken research on the Covid-19 outbreak, for the purpose of informing Members of Parliament. This includes research about testing for and the transmission of the virus.

However, this information is already available from a public source. This information is exempt from disclosure in accordance with section 21(1) and (2)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), which removes a public authority from the obligation to provide access to information which is already in the public domain. This is an absolute exemption and the public interest test does not apply.

However, it may help you to know that information relevant to your request can be found at [1]<https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/cor...> and

[2]<https://post.parliament.uk/category/anal...>

You may, if dissatisfied with the handling of your request, complain to the House of Commons. Alternatively, if you are dissatisfied with the outcome of your request you may ask the House of Commons to conduct an internal review of any decision regarding your request. Complaints or requests for internal review should be addressed to: Information Rights and Information Security Service, Research & Information Team, House of Commons, London SW1A 0AA or [3][House of Commons request email]. Please ensure that you specify the full reasons for your complaint or internal review along with any arguments or points that you wish to make.

If you remain dissatisfied, you may appeal to the Information Commissioner at Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF, [4]www.ico.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely,

IRIS Officer
Information Rights and Information Security

House of Commons, London SW1A 0AA
[5][IMG]

[6]House of Commons Privacy Notice for the public

The House of Commons welcomes feedback. If you have any compliments, complaints or comments, about the service that you have received please send an email to [7][\[email address\]](#)

From: Marc Horn <[FOI #680375 email]>
Sent: 28 July 2020 19:11
To: FOI Commons <[\[email address\]](#)>
Subject: Freedom of Information request - Full, accurate and complete

Marc Horn 12 August 2020

Delivered

Dear House of Commons,

Please pass this on to the person who conducts Freedom of Information reviews.

I am writing to request an internal review of House of Commons's handling of my FOI request 'Full, accurate and complete disclosure of records showing that the claimed SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of COVID-19'.

In your response you have claimed to have some of the information, and enclosed 2 links to support your claim. Neither of the links have any information supporting the claimed SARS-CoV-2 virus causes the symptoms of COVID-19.

As requested in my original FOI please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it). I remind you full, accurate and complete disclosure is required.

A full history of my FOI request and all correspondence is available on the Internet at this address:

<https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/f..>

Yours faithfully,

Marc Horn

FOI Commons, House of Commons 13 August 2020

1 Attachment

image002.png

16K [Download](#)

Dear Mr Horn,

Freedom of Information Request F20-353

Thank you for your request for an internal review, copied below. In the first instance, I thought it may be quicker and more helpful to provide

some additional information about the House's position, rather than undertaking a full review.

You asked the House of Commons for records supporting the claim that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of the disease called COVID-19. We advised you that the only information we held that related to that subject were two briefing papers from the House of Commons Library, for which we provided links. The Library routinely produces these briefings for the purpose of keeping Members up to date on a whole range of subjects.

I am very sorry that these papers did not contain the information you were looking for. They were supplied in good faith, and represent the only information the House of Commons holds on the matter.

The role of the House of Commons is to make laws and to scrutinise the work of the Government. The records we hold directly relate to that role (for example, records of Chamber debates, or minutes of Committee meetings) or to the day-to-day administration of the House services (such as catering menus and staff rotas).

We are not part of the Government, nor do we routinely have access to their documents and records. Where a Government Department commissions scientific studies or research, that information will be held by them. In the case of any research on the SARS-COV-2 virus, we assume that any studies, research or other advice would have been commissioned by the Government's Department of Health and Social Care, so you may wish to request the information from them. Contact details can be found here: [1]<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisati...>

I hope this helps you better understand our position – we simply don't hold the detailed information you seek and this is why. However, if you would still like us to carry out a full review of the House of Commons handling of your request, please let me know, detailing your further complaint.

Yours sincerely,

WhatDoTheyKnow

Full, accurate and complete disclosure of records showing that the claimed SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of COVID-19

[Marc Horn](#) made this Freedom of Information request to [House of Lords](#)

The request was **successful**.

Marc Horn 28 July 2020

[Delivered](#)

Dear House of Lords,

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and / or under your authority, supporting the claim that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of the disease called COVID-19, including but not limited to isolation of SARS-COV-2 virus and its identification method as confirmation.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring to, and hence not requesting, isolation meaning the culture of something else or the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of “something”.

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

I remind you full, accurate and complete disclosure is required.

Yours faithfully,

Marc Horn

HL External Communications Office, House of Lords 28 July 2020

Follow us on Twitter [7][@UKHouseofLords](#), [8][Facebook](#) and [9][YouTube](#)

UK Parliament Disclaimer: this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail address is not secure, is not encrypted and should not be used for sensitive data.

References

Visible links

1. <http://www.parliament.uk/lordsHouse>
2. mailto:[[email address](#)]
3. <https://www.supremecourt.uk/>
4. <http://lordsappointments.independent.gov...>
5. <http://www.parliament.uk/lords>
6. <http://lords-subscriptions.parliament.uk/>
7. <https://twitter.com/UKHouseofLords>
8. <https://www.facebook.com/UKHouseofLords>
9. <http://www.youtube.com/user/ukhouseoflords>

HL FOI & Information Compliance, House of Lords 11 August 2020

1 Attachment

FOI 3464 Response.pdf

79K [Download](#) [View as HTML](#)

Dear Mr Horn,

Please find attached our response to your request (copied below) to the House of Lords Administration.

You may, if dissatisfied with the treatment of your request, ask the House of Lords to conduct an internal review. This should be addressed to [1][\[email address\]](#) and explain clearly the nature of your complaint in terms of compliance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

List of records supporting the claim that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of the disease called COVID-19

Request:

Please provide a full, accurate and complete list of records held within your office, and / or under your authority, supporting the claim that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the symptoms of the disease called COVID-19, including but not limited to isolation of SARS-COV-2 virus and its identification method as confirmation.

Please note isolation is used in the normally understood meaning of the word – the act of separating a thing from another. I am not referring to, and hence not requesting, isolation meaning the culture of something else or the performance of an amplification test (eg PCR test which only detect mRNA or DNA) or the sequencing of “something”.

If any records match the above description and are available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, and weblink or location where the public may access it).

Response:

The Freedom of Information Act 2000 (“the FOIA”) provides a right of access, subject to specified exemptions, to recorded information held by a public authority. In the case of the House of Lords, the rights of access apply to recorded information held by the House of Lords Administration.

The House Administration does not hold specific records matching the description in your request. However, you may find some relevant information in the oral and written evidence that has been submitted so far to the Science and Technology Committee, which is currently conducting an inquiry into the science of COVID-19. Evidence submitted to the inquiry starts from the premise (which so far as we know is uncontested) that the SARS-COV-2 virus causes the COVID-19 illness. The oral and written evidence is published on our website here:

<https://committees.parliament.uk/work/293/the-science-of-covid19/>

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2_2_incoming-1670059

Documents held showing SARS-COV2 has been isolated and Causes COVID-19

[Athanasios Kandas](#) made this Freedom of Information request to [Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency](#).

Actions ▾

Follow

3 followers



We're waiting for [Athanasios Kandas](#) to read a recent response and update the status.



Athanasios Kandas 12 October 2020



Delivered

Dear Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency,

As per my recent FOI request to PHS, ref 2020-000133 and another FOI request to Public Health England ref 24/07/hf/872, both PHS and PHE do not hold evidence of the virus, isolated by a deceased patient and in fact, no confirmation of its existence in the UK, at least. Under the FOI act can you please provide:

All records in the possession, custody or control of Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers "instead" to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.

[WhatDoTheyKnow Pro](#) is a powerful, fully-featured FOI toolkit for journalists.

ACT ON WHAT YOU'VE LEARNT

- [Tweet this request](#)
- [Share on Facebook](#)
- [Write about this on Medium](#)
- [Write to your politician](#)

REQUESTS LIKE THIS

[Isolation, Purification and Identification of SARS-COV-2](#)
Public Health England

[Documents held showing SARS-COV2 has been isolated](#)

- the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the MHRA or that pertain to work done by the MHRA . My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the MHRA has downloaded or printed.

Please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it.

Yours faithfully,
Athanasios Kandas

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2_2#c

[Link to this](#)

[Report](#)

— MHRA Customer Services, Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency 12 October 2020

Thank you for your email. This auto-response is to inform you that your email has been received and will be reviewed by our Customer Service Team shortly.

You can expect a reply from us within a few days for a straightforward request. Where a more detailed response or contribution from a specialist is required this is likely to take longer but we will inform you of this.

If your request is urgent, please call us on 020 3080 6000

Our opening hours are Mon – Fri 9am to 5pm (excluding UK Public Holidays)

Our opening hours are Mon – Fri 9am to 5pm (excluding UK Public Holidays)

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
10 South Colonnade,
Canary Wharf,
London
E14 4PU

[1]gov.uk/mhra

[2]Stay connected

For information on how the Agency uses your personal data and your data protection rights, please see our three centres' Privacy Notices: [3]MHRA, [4]CPRD and [5]NIBSC.

References

Visible links

1. <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisati...>
2. <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisati...>
3. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publicatio...>
4. <https://www.cprd.com/transparency-inform...>
5. https://www.nibsc.org/about_us/privacy_n...

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2_2#i

[Link to this](#)

[Report](#)

— MHRA Customer Services, Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency 13 October 2020

Our Ref: FOI 20/404

Our Ref: FOI 20/404

Dear Athanasios Kandas,

RE: REQUEST UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000

Thank you for your enquiry which we received on 12 October 2020.

I confirm that your request is now being handled under the Freedom of Information Act and you should receive a reply within 20 working days from our date of receipt.

If you need to contact us again about this request, please quote the reference number above.

Kind Regards,

MHRA Customer Service Centre

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
10 South Colonnade, Canary Wharf, London E14 4PU
Telephone 020 3080 6000

[show quoted sections](#)

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2_2#i

Link to this

Report

— MHRA Customer Services, Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency 5 November 2020

Our ref: FOI 20/404

Our ref: FOI 20/404

Dear Athanasios Kandas,

Thank you for your email of 12 October 2020, in which you requested the following information under the Freedom of Information (FOI) Act 2000:

As per my recent FOI request to PHS, ref 2020-000133 and another FOI request to Public Health England ref 24/07/hf/872, both PHS and PHE do not hold evidence of the virus, isolated by a deceased patient and in fact, no confirmation of its existence in the UK, at least. Under the FOI act can you please provide:

All records in the possession, custody or control of Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased (we assume this is meant to say deceased as in sentence above) patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Response: There are no divisions in the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency working on isolation of viruses directly from patients, and we therefore hold no records describing this activity.

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers *instead* to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or • the sequencing of something.

• the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or • the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the MHRA or that pertain to work done by the MHRA . My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the MHRA has downloaded or printed.

Response: this request is for information that is already in the public domain and therefore exempt under section 21 of the FOI Act.

Please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it.

If you have a query about the information provided, please reply to this email.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date you receive this response and addressed to: [1][MHRA request email]

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you were to remain dissatisfied with the outcome of the internal review, you would have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Please bear in mind that the Information Commissioner will not normally review our handling of your request unless you have first contacted us to conduct an internal review. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire

Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

Yours sincerely

MHRA Customer Services

CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech



From: frances leader <franleader@hotmail.co.uk>

Sent: 03 December 2020 16:29

To: MHRA Customer Services <MHRACustomerServices@mhra.gov.uk>

Subject: CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech

Dear Sirs,

As you have approved the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine for distribution to UK citizens from next week I would appreciate a copy of the Vaccine insert detailing full ingredients, all known side effects & all other medicine safety information which would normally be available with any medication.

A FOIA to Public Health Scotland recommended that you should be able to supply this information as they cannot.

MS

MHRA Customer Services <MHRACustomerService
s@mhra.gov.uk>

Tue 08/12/2020 13:46

To: You



Our reference: CSC 23485

Dear Fran Leader,

Thank you for your email dated 3/12.


We recently published information on the product and advise you review this at the following link of our website page below that contains the patient information leaflet and summary of product characteristics.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-medicines-regulator-gives-approval-for-first-uk-covid-19-vaccine>

Here is a direct link to the PDF which would answer your query:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/940566/Information_for_UK_recipients_on_Pfizer_BioNTech_COVID-19_vaccine.pdf

CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech



frances leader

Wed 09/12/2020 20:13

To: MHRA Customer Services



Dear Adam,

You took so long to answer my email I have already seen the docs you offer below. Plus the advice distributed to healthcare professional.

Can you give me any idea of what is in the active ingredient BNT162b2 RNA?

It is not specified anywhere that I have seen.

Thanks for your attention to this matter.

Kind regards,

Fran Leader

CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech



MHRA Customer Services <MHRACustomerService
s@mhra.gov.uk>

Fri 11/12/2020 12:00

To: You



Our reference: CSC 23485

Dear Fran Leader,

Thank you for your email. Apologies for the delay in response.
BNT162b2 RNA is embedded in lipid nanoparticles.

COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 is highly purified single-stranded, 5'-capped messenger RNA (mRNA) produced by cell-free in vitro transcription from the corresponding DNA templates, encoding the viral spike (S) protein of SARS-CoV-2.

Should you require any further advice or assistance on this matter please feel free to call us on 0203 080 6000 or reply to this email.


Our opening hours are Mon – Fri 9am to 5pm (excluding UK Public Holidays)

CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech



Our Reference: CSC 23485 Dear Frances Leader, Thank you for your email. We have revi...

Mon 14/12/2020 14:13



frances leader

Fri 11/12/2020 20:47

To: MHRA Customer Services



Thank you Adam!

I would like you to confirm that the DNA template has come from a computer generated genomic sequence first notified to WHO by China rather than an isolated virus from an infected person.

thank you!

Frances Leader

CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech



MS

MHRA Customer Services <MHRACustomerService
s@mhra.gov.uk>

Mon 14/12/2020 14:13

To: You



Our Reference: CSC 23485

Dear Frances Leader,

Thank you for your email.

We have reviewed your request and this has been referred onward for consideration.

In the meantime, should you have any other questions or requests please feel free to call us on 0203 080 6000 or email at info@mhra.gov.uk

Our opening hours are Mon – Fri 9am to 5pm (excluding UK Public Holidays)

Kind regards

CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech



To: You

Our reference: CSC 23485

Dear Frances Leader,

Thank you for your email.

The information is in the Public Assessment Report: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/944544/COVID-19_mRNA_Vaccine_BNT162b2_UKPAR_PFIZER_BIONTECH_15Dec2020.pdf

A quality target product profile for the finished product has been established taking into consideration the World Health Organization's "WHO Target Product Profiles for COVID-19 Vaccines".

The DNA template used does not come directly from an isolated virus from an infected person.

Should you require any further advice or assistance on this matter please feel free to call us on 0203 080 6000 or reply to this email.

CSC 23485 First UK COVID-19 vaccine approved Pfizer/BioNTech



MHRA Customer Services <MHRACustomerService
s@mhra.gov.uk>

Mon 21/12/2020 10:46

To: You



Our reference: CSC 23485

Dear Frances Leader,

Just to add some further information:

The DNA template(severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, GenBank: MN908947.3) was generated via a combination of gene synthesis and recombinant DNA technology.

Should you require any further advice or assistance on this matter please feel free to call us on 0203 080 6000 or reply to this email.

Our opening hours are Mon – Fri 9am to 5pm (excluding UK Public Holidays)

With regards

Dir Public Health Brighton re SARS-CoV-2 'virus' Fw: Freedom of Information request (ref: 9510317)

Thu, Oct 28, 2021 at 7:19 PM

To: "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

/yvonne

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, October 28th, 2021 at 15:55, Brighton and Hove City Council <foicases@mail.brighton-hove.gov.uk> wrote:



Freedom of Information request
Our reference: 9510317

Dear Von Hobbs

Thank you for your request for information received on 21 October 2021.

Please find attached our response to your request.


Should you wish to contact us again regarding this request, please do not edit the subject line when replying to this email. If you change this it will take us longer to identify the request that you are corresponding about and will delay us in responding to you.

Yours sincerely

Information Governance Team

Please note that due to the current Coronavirus (Covid 19) pandemic, we are unable to respond to or receive telephone calls or hard copy correspondence. Please contact us via email should you have any queries.

Notice to recipient: The information contained in this electronic mail message is intended only for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged and confidential, the disclosure of which is prohibited by law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error please notify the sender immediately. Thank you in anticipation of your co-operation. You can visit our website at <http://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk> Please consider the environment, only print out this email if absolutely necessary. Please Note: Both incoming and outgoing Emails may be monitored and/or recorded in line with current legislation.

 **Response (not held).pdf**
120K



Brighton & Hove City Council
Information Governance Team
Room 233
Hove Town Hall
Norton Road
Hove
BN3 3BQ

Von Hobbs

FOI Reference: **9510317**
Email: foicases@mail.brighton-hove.gov.uk
Date: 28 October 2021

Dear Von Hobbs

Freedom of Information Act 2000

Thank you for your request for information to Brighton & Hove City Council ('the council') that was received on 21 October 2021. We have now processed your request and our response is below.

Your request:

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any 'COVID-19 virus' (aka 'SARS-COV-2', including any alleged 'variants' i.e. 'B.1.1.7', 'B.1.351', 'P.1') directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected 'virus' (separate the alleged 'virus' from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- ☐ ***cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or***
- ☐ ***performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or***
- ☐ ***fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or***
- ☐ ***produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.***

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a 'virus' requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a 'virus' without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected 'virus' floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere. This includes your own website which claims to have the virus isolated ; given your body corporate/politick receives publick treasure, the worldwide 'interest' and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for publick record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Our response:

In processing your request we liaised with colleagues within Public Health as they would hold the information in relation to your request were this information to be held by the council.

We can confirm that the information you have requested is not held by the council.

The council does not hold any documents describing the purification of any Covid-19 virus, including variants.

Please quote the reference number **9510317** in any future communications.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an Internal Review. Internal Review requests should be submitted within 40 working days of the date of receipt of this response and should be addressed to:

foicases@mail.brighton-hove.gov.uk

or by post to the address at the top of this letter.

If you are still dissatisfied with the Council's response after the Internal Review you have a right of appeal to the Information Commissioner at:

The Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire SK9 5AF.

Telephone: 0303 123 1113

Website: www.ico.org.uk

We will now close your request as of this date.

Yours sincerely

Information Governance Team

Please note that due to the current Coronavirus (Covid 19) pandemic, we are unable to respond to or receive telephone calls or hard copy correspondence. Please contact us via email should you have any queries.

Fw: IG-15574 - DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH FOR Nottingham - NO RECORDs

Sat, Oct 30, 2021 at 7:03 PM

Reply-To: [REDACTED]
To: "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH FOR Nottingham UA – Lucy Hubber
Response at the end. Best /yvonne

Sent with [REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, October 27th, 2021 at 12:01, Freedom Of Information <FOI@nottinghamcity.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Von Hobbs

Please find attached the response to your request for information submitted to Nottingham City Council.

Regards

Freedom of Information Team

Information Compliance | Legal and Governance

Nottingham City Council | Loxley House | Station Street | Nottingham | NG2 3NG

Direct Line: 0115 876 4376

Website: www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk | Open Data: www.opendatanottingham.org.uk

Please consider the environment before printing this email

This email is security checked and subject to the disclaimer on web-page: <http://www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/privacy-statement> This message has been scanned by Exchange Online Protection.

2 attachments



15574 - Final Response.pdf
296K



East Midlands Nottingham UA – Lucy Hubber except SARS-CoV-2.pdf
2784K

My Ref: IG-15574
Your Ref:
Contact: Freedom of Information Team
Email: FOI@nottinghamcity.gov.uk



Nottingham
City Council

Freedom of Information Team
Information Compliance
Legal & Governance
2nd Floor
Loxley House
Station Street
Nottingham
NG2 3NG

Requester

At contact address specified for request number above

Tel: 0115 876 4376

Email:

FOI@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk

27 October 2021

Dear Requester

Request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act)

The council has considered your request which was received on 21 October 2021 and our response to your questions is shown below.

Request 1:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of "Zika virus" directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using "purification" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- *cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- *performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*



Safer, cleaner, ambitious
Nottingham
A city we're all proud of

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records "describing the purification of "Zika virus" directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material". In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records "proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease". Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 2:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of "HIV" aka "human immunodeficiency virus", directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using “purification” in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- cultured an un-purified sample or other un-purified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any nonpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any non-purified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of un-purified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of “HIV” aka “human immunodeficiency virus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving a causation between the “virus” and the suspected

infectious disease". Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 3:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "Ebola virus" said to have caused disease in humans (i.e. the "Ebola virus", "Sudan virus", "Taï Forest virus", "Bundibugyo virus"), directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using "purification" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty

(i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of any "Ebola virus" said to have caused disease in humans, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease”. Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 4:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of "polio virus", directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using “purification” in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged “virus” from everything else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records "describing the purification of polio "virus", directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material". In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records "proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease". Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 5:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of " MERS (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome) "virus", directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using "purification" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- *cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- *performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of MERS (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome) “virus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving a causation between the “virus” and the suspected infectious disease”. Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 6:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration

and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of a "virus" addressed by any vaccine on either the childhood or adult U.K. "immunization schedule", directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between the "virus" and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using "purification" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this

would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of a “virus” addressed by any vaccine on either the childhood or adult U.K. “immunization schedule”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving a causation between the “virus” and the suspected infectious disease”. Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 7:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of a “measles virus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between “measles virus” and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using “purification” in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “measles virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of a “measles virus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving a causation between “measles virus” and the suspected infectious disease”. Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 8:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of “HPV” aka “Human Papillomavirus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between “HPV” aka “Human Papillomavirus” and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using “purification” in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “Human Papillomavirus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- *cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- *performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*

- *produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of “HPV” aka “Human Papillomavirus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving a causation between “HPV” aka “Human Papillomavirus” and the suspected infectious disease”. Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 9:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of “influenza virus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving a causation between “influenza virus” and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using “purification” in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “influenza virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- *cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- *performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of “influenza virus”, directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving a causation between “influenza virus” and the suspected infectious disease”.

Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

Request 10:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of SARS-COV-1, as well as any of the other common cold associated coronavirus's directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate and proving the causation link between Sars-Cov-1 and the suspected infectious disease.

Please note that I am using "purification" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" SARSCoV-1 or any of the other common cold associated coronavirus's (separate the alleged "virus" from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

In accordance with section 1 (1) of the Act the council has a duty to confirm whether it holds the information of the description specified in the request, and if that is the case, to have the information communicated to the requester.

Whilst the Act gives you the right to request any recorded information held by a public authority, Nottingham City Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.

Nottingham City Council does not hold any studies, reports or records “describing the purification of SARS-COV-1, as well as any of the other common cold associated coronavirus’s directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material”. In addition the council does not hold any studies, reports or records “proving the causation link between Sars-Cov-1 and the suspected infectious disease”. Therefore, under section 1 of the Act the requested information cannot be provided, as it is not held by the council.

You are free to use any information supplied for your own personal use. If the information provided is marked as published under an [Open Government Licence](#) you are free to reuse it, subject to the licence terms. However, if the information is not published under an Open Government Licence and you wish to reuse it, for example, by publishing the information or issuing copies to the public you are required to request permission for re-use of this information under the Re-use of Public Sector Information Regulations 2005 (RPSI). Your RPSI request must be in writing and include your name and address for correspondence, and specify the information you want to re-use and the purpose you intend to use it for.

If you are unhappy with the response provided or with the handling of your request, you can ask for an internal review in writing stating the reasons for your dissatisfaction. Your request for an internal review should be made to the council within forty working days of the date of this letter. Please quote reference IG-15574 in all communications.

You can contact the Freedom of Information Team either by email: FOI@nottinghamcity.gov.uk or writing to the **Freedom of Information Team, Information Compliance, Legal & Governance, 2nd Floor, Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG.**

If you remain unhappy after receiving the response to your initial complaint you can request an independent review from the Information Commissioner's Office at **FOI/EIR Complaints Resolution, Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF**. You may also contact the Information Commissioner's Office by telephone on 01625 545745 or by email at mail@ico.gsi.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely

Freedom of Information Team
Legal & Governance
Nottingham City Council

Director of Public Health Rotherham – Ben Anderson Yorkshire and Humber

Sat, Oct 30, 2021 at 5:20 PM

Reply-To: [REDACTED]
To: "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

Hello Christine, i have not sent you the request this time as it was the same request as to Brighton & Hove and sent in earlier e-mail. If you prefer i can forward to save you searching.

[REDACTED]

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, October 28th, 2021 at 10:25, Terrie Naylor <terrie.naylor@rotherham.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Mr Hobbs

Please find attached response to your recent enquiry.

Regards

Terrie

Terrie Naylor

Senior Management Secretary to Ben Anderson, Director of Public Health

Adult Care, Housing and Public Health

Wing A, Floor 2, Near Pod A21

Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council, Riverside House, Main Street, Rotherham, S60 1AE

Tel: (01709) 255878

Internal: 55878

Email: terrie.naylor@rotherham.gov.uk

Visit our website: <http://www.rotherham.gov.uk>

Before printing, think about the environment

Adult Care, Housing & Public Health

Riverside House
Floor 2, Wing A
Main Street
Rotherham
S60 1AE
Email: ben.anderson@rotherham.gov.uk
Email the Council for free @ your local library!

Our Ref:
262-21

Please Contact:
Ben Anderson

Telephone:
01709 382121

28 October 2021

Mr Von Hobbs


Dear Mr Hobbs

Records Request

Thank you for your letter dated 21st October 2021 requesting access to records.

Public Health at Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council does not possess, have custody or control of any studies and/or reports and/or records describing the purification of Zika virus, HIV, Ebola virus, polio virus, MERS virus, any viruses addressed by UK vaccination schedule including measles, HPV and influenza, or SARS-CoV-1.

If you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely



Ben Anderson
Director of Public Health

19 October 2021

Director Public Health
East of England Suffolk (covers Waveney) – Stuart Keeble

stuart.keeble@suffolk.gov.uk

This is my wish for access to records.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the **purification** (i.e. via filtration and ultra-centrifugation and chromatography) of any “**COVID-19 virus**” (aka “SARS-COV-2”, including any alleged “variants” i.e. “B.1.1.7”, “B.1.351”, “P.1”) directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient **sample was not first** combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate **proving a causal link** between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected “virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am **not** requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification** (**separation** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study **authored by anyone, anywhere**. This includes your own **website** which claims to have the virus

isolated ; given your body corporate/politick receives publick treasure, the worldwide 'interest' and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for publick record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: [REDACTED]

First name: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Phone:

Email: [REDACTED]

All rights reserved

Fw: 21103 – you might like this one <eom>

Sat, Oct 30, 2021 at 6:25 PM

Reply-To: [REDACTED]
To: "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH FOR Suffolk (covers Waveney) – Stuart Keeble
As vague as it gets Christine. Hope all is well with you /yvonne

Sent with [REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, October 27th, 2021 at 18:10, Freedom of Information <FOI@suffolk.gov.uk> wrote:

INFORMATION REQUEST RESPONSE

Thank you for your request for information, reference number 21103. Please quote this in any future correspondence you may have with us.

Please find attached a copy of our response to the information you requested.

Most information supplied by Suffolk County Council will have been produced within the Council and will continue to be protected by copyright. You are free to use it for your own purposes, including for private study and non-commercial research, and for any other purpose authorised by an exception in current copyright law. Documents (except photographs) can be also used in the UK without requiring permission for the purposes of news reporting. Any other reuse, for example commercial publication, would require the permission of the copyright holder.

If the information you have been sent includes a copyright statement, you must not alter or remove this statement. For information about re-using copyright see the Office of Public Sector Information website at www.opsi.gov.uk. The copyright in some documents may rest with a third party. For information about obtaining permission from a third party see the Intellectual Property Office's website at www.ipo.gov.uk.

If you are dissatisfied with the way your request has been handled, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Under Regulation 11(2), internal review requests should be submitted within 40 working days of the date of this letter and should be sent to: Information Management Services, Constantine House, Constantine Road, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP1 2DH or, alternatively, by email at Information.management@suffolk.gov.uk.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF.

Kind regards

Becky

Information Governance

Governance, Legal and Assurance

Suffolk County Council

Constantine House

Ipswich

IP1 2DH

Email: FOI@suffolk.gov.uk

Phone: 01473 265960

From: Freedom of Information
Sent: 21 October 2021 18:18
To: VONofUCT@pm.me
Subject: 2021-10-21 - 21103 - Acknowledgement

INFORMATION REQUEST – ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thank you for your request. Please quote reference number 21103 in any future correspondence you may have with us.

We will process your request in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 as appropriate. We aim to answer your request within 20 working days from the date we received it.

While no charges are made for the submission of requests, the council is entitled to apply reasonable costs for disbursements (i.e. photocopying, postage etc.). Where such costs are under £5.00 the council is pleased to make no charge, however, if costs exceed that limit then these additional costs are payable to the council. Where charges will apply proper notice will be given to you prior to the information being released. For more information on charges please use the following link to our website: <http://www.suffolk.gov.uk/about/freedom-of-information#tab4>

If you have any queries about this request do not hesitate to contact us. Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

Kind regards

Becky

Information Governance

Governance, Legal and Assurance

Suffolk County Council

Constantine House

Ipswich

IP1 2DH

Email: FOI@suffolk.gov.uk

Phone: 01473 265960

The information contained in this email or any of its attachments may be privileged or confidential and is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee. Any unauthorised use may be unlawful. If you receive this email by mistake, please advise the sender immediately by using the reply facility in your email software.

The Council reserves the right to monitor, record and retain any incoming and outgoing emails for security reasons and for monitoring internal compliance with our policy on staff use. Email monitoring and/or blocking software may be used and email content may be read.

For information about what we do with personal data see our privacy notice <https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/about/privacy-notice/>

2 attachments



2021-10-27 - 21103 - Response.doc
54K



East of England Suffolk (covers Waveney) – Stuart Keeble.pdf
478K

Freedom of Information – Response – 21103

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultra- centrifugation and chromatography) of any “COVID-19 virus” (aka “SARS-COV-2”, including any alleged “variants” i.e. “B.1.1.7”, “B.1.351”, “P.1”) directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe

its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere. This includes your own website which claims to have the virus isolated ; given your body corporate/politick receives publick treasure, the worldwide ‘interest’ and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for publick record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Suffolk County Council do not hold this information.



Case ref: 1409 - FOI Purification of SARS-COV-2 and Variants (CF)

2 messages

Information Rights <InformationRights@ukhsa.gov.uk>

Wed, Oct 27, 2021 at 3:49 AM

The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) has taken responsibility for requests previously addressed to Public Health England (PHE), your request was assessed based on the information held by PHE at the time of receipt.

In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), I can confirm that UKHSA does not hold the information as specified by your request.

We have provided information regarding the isolation of Sars-Cov2 that we hold, you may be able to find further sources from other organisations.

Under Section 16 of the Act, a public authority has a duty to provide advice and assistance. Accordingly, we have provided further information below that you may wish to view.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus has been identified by electron microscopy, which uses high resolution to visualise virus particles directly in specimen, as well as culturing in a laboratory. Evidence of the Electron Micrograph is available at the following link:
<https://publichealthmatters.blog.gov.uk/2021/02/05/what-do-we-know-about-the-new-covid-19-variants/>

Viruses are not independent living entities. They require a host cell substrate to replicate. Isolation of any virus without any medium therefore is not possible. The medium provides suitable growing environment for the virus in the absence of a human or other host animal. These media and any products added are all sterile and do not contain additional genetic material.

You may be interested in the following information on the COVID-19 variants:

https://github.com/phe-genomics/variant_definitions

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/new-sars-cov-2-variant>

A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Yours sincerely,

Information Rights Team
UK Health Security Agency
InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk
www.gov.uk/ukhsa Follow us on Twitter @UKHSA



The information contained in the Email and any attachments is confidential and intended solely and for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). It may not be disclosed to any other person without the express authority of the UKHSA, or the intended recipient or both. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose, copy, distribute or retain this message or any part of it. This footnote also confirms that this Email has been swept for computer viruses by Exchange Online Protection, but please re-sweep any attachments before opening or saving. UK Health Security Agency - (<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/uk-health-security-agency>)

Wed, Oct 27, 2021 at 8:47 AM

To: Information Rights <InformationRights@ukhsa.gov.uk>


Greetings,

| I can confirm that UKHSA does not hold the information as specified by your request.

You confirmed that SARS-COV-2 has never been isolated and purified directly from a human. You have confirmed that the UKHSA does not have direct proof of the existence of SARS-COV-2.

Without direct evidence of the existence of SARS-COV-2, all additional information provided is nothing more than speculation if not fraudulent information.

A demonstration of scientific fraud is where virologists redefine the word isolation to mean mixture (cell culture). Virologists never isolate SARS-COV-2 as they would be able to provide a purification score if they did so and of course you would have provided me with records (see my FOIA).



This image does not show purified anything. You cannot make any claims about what is in this photo other than there seems to be dead and dying cell material. Also, this image has been photoshopped as EM's are black and white. And furthermore, the red dots could be ANYTHING (EV, Exosomes, etc).

They require a host cell substrate to replicate. Isolation of any virus without any medium therefore is not possible.

If viruses existed, YOU should be able to isolate and purify viruses directly from humans, plants, and animals as we do with other nano-meter sized particles such as bacterial phages and exosomes. Common methods for isolating and purifying such nano-meter sized particles are:

- Ultra Centrifugation
- Ultra Filtration
- Immunoaffinity / Chromatography
- Precipitation

Virologists' cell culture experiments are not valid methods of purification. Because a virologists' cell culture is a mixture of foreign host cells, plus toxic chemicals (anti-anti), RNA containments (FBS), and starvation (reduced nutrient solution).

Regarding [A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019](#),

- Does not purify SARS-COV-2 directly from patient samples
- Uses UTM onto the patient samples contaminating the samples before the experiment even begins
- Uses the same fraudulent cell culture experiment as other virologists
- **Does not have valid controls which disqualifies this paper as valid science**
- EM photos are not of purified SARS-COV-2 (confirmed by the author).

If virologists did valid control experiments, they would discover they could produce CPE in cell cultures without the alleged virus. Actually, Enders did a valid control in his first cell culture experiment and he wrote the following from 'Propagation in Tissue Cultures of Cytopathogenic Agents from Patients with Measles. (21073):

As control antigens, fluids were taken from uninoculated cultures maintained under the same conditions as well as fluids from cultures of the agent producing changes superficially similar to those caused by the measles agents and which are mentioned below. These ma-

Clearly, he was able to reproduce CPE in his control by just doing the process. Since his control output was the same as his experimental output, he clearly was not able to demonstrate the effects of the alleged measles virus (as his cell culture experiment would only be inference not causation). So instead of realizing his virus hypothesis was invalid, he stopped doing control experiments.

At this point in history, it is obvious that viruses do not exist according to science. This has happened throughout history. The best example is the misunderstanding of scurvy. I highly suggest that the UKHSA reads the [history of scurvy](#) to discover what happens when scientists and medical doctors have a flawed understanding of microbiology.

Viruses do not exist scientifically. Therefore, all measures that the UK government is taking are based on a 150 year assumption which we can rightfully throw away. You are unnecessarily hurting everyone by instilling fear in them, summarily removing their rights, and coercing them into an unnecessary and harmful treatment which is morally reprehensible.

It should be your highest priority to check the sources and read the papers asking critical questions. You obviously failed and have provided me with bad science.

Regards

[Quoted text hidden]



**ДЕРЖАВНА УСТАНОВА
«ЦЕНТР ГРОМАДСЬКОГО ЗДОРОВ'Я
МІНІСТЕРСТВА ОХОРОНИ ЗДОРОВ'Я УКРАЇНИ»**

вул. Ярославська, 41, м. Київ, 04071, тел. (044) 425-43-54

E-mail: info@phc.org.ua, код ЄДРПОУ 40524109

«15» 03 2021 № 13/216-к/148-к/21
на № _____ від _____

extra_law_ppg

Державна установа «Центр громадського здоров'я Міністерства охорони здоров'я України» (далі - Центр) розглянула у межах компетенції запит щодо реєстрації в референс-лабораторії вірусологічних досліджень Центру штаму SARS-CoV-2 та інформує про таке.

Центр, відповідно до пункту 1 розділу I Статуту Державної установи «Центр громадського здоров'я Міністерства охорони здоров'я України», затвердженого наказом МОЗ України від 30.06.2020 № 1483, є санітарно-профілактичним закладом охорони здоров'я, головним завданням якого є діяльність у галузі громадського здоров'я, а саме здійснення епідемічного нагляду (спостереження), виконання повноважень щодо захисту населення від інфекційних хвороб та неінфекційних захворювань, лабораторній діяльності, біобезпеки, інфекційної безпеки донорської крові та/або її компонентів у межах, визначених цим Статутом.

У референс-лабораторії вірусологічних досліджень Центру штаму SARS-CoV-2 відсутній, референс-лабораторія вірусологічних досліджень Центру не проводить вірусні дослідження з метою виділення вірусу SARS-CoV-2 на культурі клітин.

Генеральний директор

Роман РОДИНА

De: "Bettina Galo" <bettina.galo@gmail.com>

Para: "Gustavo Folle" <gfolle@iibce.edu.uy>, "Gustavo Folle" <gustavofolle@gmail.com>, "Susana González" <sgonzalez@iibce.edu.uy>, info@geneticamedica.com.uy, "Jose Badano" <Jbadano@pasteur.edu.uy>, genetica@fmed.edu.uy, decano@fq.edu.uy

Enviados: Lunes, 3 de Mayo 2021 16:26:04

Asunto: Solicitud de REGISTROS DEL SARS-COV-2

**Esta es una solicitud formal de acceso a registros generales,
realizada bajo la Ley N° 18.381 Derecho de Acceso a la
Información Pública**

Descripción de los registros solicitados:

Todos los estudios y / o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los informes y registros que describan la **purificación** de cualquier "**virus COVID-19**" (incluidos "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1" y cualquier otra "variante") (mediante maceración, filtración y uso de una ultracentrífuga; también a veces por algunas personas como "aislamiento") , directamente de una muestra tomada de un ser humano enfermo, donde la muestra del paciente NO SE combinó primero con ninguna otra fuente de material **genético** (es decir, células de riñón de mono, también conocidas como células Vero; suero fetal bovino) .

Tenga en cuenta que no estoy solicitando estudios / informes donde los investigadores **no pudieron purificar** el "virus" sospechoso y en su lugar:

- cultivado una muestra no purificada u otra sustancia no purificada, y / o
- realizó una prueba de amplificación (es decir, una prueba de PCR) en todo el ARN de una muestra de paciente o de un cultivo celular, o en material genético de cualquier sustancia no purificada, y / o
- Secuenció el ARN total de una muestra de paciente o de un cultivo celular o de cualquier sustancia no purificada , y / o
- produjo imágenes de microscopía electrónica de cosas no purificadas en un cultivo celular.

Para mayor claridad, tenga en cuenta que ya soy consciente de que, según la teoría del virus, un "virus" requiere células huésped para replicarse, y **no** solicito registros que describan la **replicación** de un "virus" sin células huésped.

Además, yo **no** estoy solicitando los registros de pacientes privados o registros que describen un supuesto "virus" flotando en el vacío; Simplemente solicito registros que describan su **purificación** (**separación** de todo lo demás en la

muestra del paciente, según las prácticas estándar de laboratorio para la purificación de otras cosas pequeñas).

Tenga en cuenta también que mi solicitud **no se limita** a los registros que fueron creados por o en cualquiera de los organismos, instituciones antes nombradas o que pertenecen al trabajo realizado en / por ellos . Más bien, mi solicitud incluye cualquier registro que coincida con la descripción anterior, por ejemplo (pero no limitado a) cualquier estudio revisado por pares publicado y escrito por cualquier persona, en cualquier lugar, alguna vez. que haya sido descargado o impreso por los antes citados y se haya utilizado como evidencia de un "virus" causante de enfermedades.

Tenga en cuenta que a pesar del hecho de que la [purificación es un paso esencial](#) (pero no suficiente) para probar la existencia de un "virus" que causa una enfermedad, hasta la fecha, 53 instituciones en todo el mundo no han proporcionado o citado tales registros, por lo tanto, a mi conocimiento no existen tales registros y si existen no puedo acceder a ellos hasta que se me proporcione una cita o URL.

Por lo tanto, si algún registro **coincide con la descripción anterior de los registros solicitados** y está actualmente disponible para el público en otro lugar, proporcione suficiente información sobre cada registro para que pueda identificar y acceder a cada uno con certeza (es decir, título, autor (es), fecha, revista, donde el público pueda acceder a ella). Proporcione las URL siempre que sea posible.

Formato :

Documentos PDF enviados a mí por correo electrónico;

Información del contacto:

Apellido: Galo Viegas

Nombre

:

María

Bettina

Correo electrónico: nico:bettina.galo@gmail.com

El mié, 26 may 2021 a las 12:13, Asistentes Académicos IIBCE
(<asistentes@iibce.edu.uy>) escribió:

Estimada Bettina Galo:

Cumplo en enviar adjunto nota con la respuesta del Consejo Directivo, referente a su consulta.

Se solicita acusar recibo de la misma.

Saludos cordiales,
Yenny Marrero



Asistente Académica
Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable (IIBCE)
www.iibce.edu.uy
Av. Italia 3318, C.P. 11600
Montevideo, Uruguay
Teléfono: (598) 2487 1616 int. 150
Fax: (598) 2487 5461

Montevideo, 25 de mayo de 2021.

Consejo Directivo del Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable

ASUNTO: la solicitud de acceso a información pública, presentada por la Sra. María Bettina Gallo, al amparo de la Ley 18.381 de Acceso a la Información Pública.



OBJETO: La Sra. María Bettina Gallo determina el objeto de la solicitud en su derecho a conocer acerca de *"Todos los estudios y / o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los informes y registros que describan la **purificación** de cualquier **"virus COVID-19"** (incluidos "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1" y cualquier otra "variante") (mediante maceración, filtración y uso de una ultracentrífuga; también a veces por algunas personas como "aislamiento") , directamente de una muestra tomada de un ser humano enfermo, donde la muestra del paciente NO SE combinó primero con ninguna otra fuente de material **genético** (es decir, células de riñón de mono, también conocidas como células Vero; suero fetal bovino)"*

FUNDAMENTO LEGAL: El acceso a la información pública es un derecho de todas las personas, con arreglo al artículo 3º de la Ley 18.381.

Que la Unidad Ejecutora 011 "Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable" es un sujeto obligado por la Ley 18.831 a brindar información que se sea considerada pública con arreglo a los artículos 4 y 5 de la citada.

Que en aplicación del principio de informalismo a favor del administrado el Consejo Directivo de la Unidad Ejecutara va a procesar la presente solicitud ya que la misma debió presentarse por escrito en la forma establecida por el artículo 13 de la Ley 18.381.

INFORME: De acuerdo a lo hasta aquí expresado el Consejo Directivo de la Unidad Ejecutora 011 "Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable" informa que no se trabaja con el virus vivo y que no se realizó la purificación del virus COVID en ninguna de sus variantes.



por Solicitud de REGISTROS DEL SARS-COV-2

Recibidos x



decano@fq.edu.uy

para mí ▼

11:56 (hace 14 minutos)

Sra. María Bettina Galo Viegas:

Desde Decanato de Facultad de Química – UdelaR acusamos recibo del correo electrónico enviado por Ud. e informamos que al mismo le faltan algunos datos de los exigidos en el Art. 13 de la ley 18.381 para considerarse una solicitud viable en ese marco, en especial número de documento de identidad y domicilio del solicitante (Se transcribe dicho artículo al final de este mensaje).

Sin perjuicio de ello, de acuerdo a las consultas realizadas, desde ya se informa a la solicitante que la Facultad de Química – UdelaR no ha efectuado estudios de aislamientos de virus SARS-COV 2.

"Art 13.- (De la solicitud y sus requisitos).- Toda persona física o jurídica interesada en acceder a la información pública en poder de los sujetos obligados por la presente ley, deberá hacerlo mediante solicitud escrita ante el titular del organismo. En dicha solicitud deberá constar:
A) La identificación del solicitante, su domicilio y forma de comunicación.
B) La descripción clara de la información requerida y cualquier dato que facilite su localización.
C) Y, opcionalmente, el soporte de información preferido, sin constituir este último una obligación para el organismo."

Agradecemos confirmación de este mensaje.

Atentamente,

Prof. Dr. Alvaro W. Mombrú
Decano
Facultad de Química - UdelaR

Fecha de ingreso: 03/05/2021 13:23
Lugar de Ingreso: División Jurídico Notarial

Datos del documento

Titulares: DIVISION SERVICIOS JURIDICOS
Resumen: ACCESO A LA INFORMACION PUBLICA
MARIA BETTINA GALO VIEGAS
Cantidad de Actuaciones: 11

Campos del tipo de documento

Procedencia: 12/001/1.511
Nómina Procedencia:
Oficio:

A DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE SECRETARÍA

Exp. Ref. N° 12/001/3/2902/2021

Por solicitud de acceso a la información pública la Sra. María Galo solicita: "Descripción de los registros solicitados: *"Todos los estudios y / o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los Centros para el Control y la Prevención de Enfermedades del MSP y/o PRIVADOS integrantes o no del GACH -sin excepción- a cargo de la Pandemia Covid19, UDELAR, Facultad de Ciencias, Facultad de Química, Facultad de Medicina y el Departamento de Genética de la Facultad de Medicina, la Agencia para Sustancias y cualquier otra dependencia público o privado, o público-privada (Laboratorios de investigación): QUE describan la purificación de cualquier "virus COVID-19 " (incluidos "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1" y cualquier otra "variante") (mediante maceración, filtración y uso de una ultracentrífuga; también a veces por algunas personas como "aislamiento") , directamente de una muestra tomada de un ser humano enfermo, donde la muestra del paciente NO SE combinó primero con ninguna otra fuente de material genético (es decir, células de riñón de mono, también conocidas como células Vero; suero fetal bovino). Tenga en cuenta que no estoy solicitando estudios /informes donde los investigadores no pudieron purificar el "virus" sospechoso y en su lugar:*

- *cultivado una muestra no purificada u otra sustancia no purificada, y / o*
- *realizó una prueba de amplificación (es decir, una prueba de PCR) en todo el ARN de una muestra de paciente o de un cultivo celular, o en material genético de cualquier sustancia no purificada, y / o*
- *Secuenció el ARN total de una muestra de paciente o de un cultivo celular o de cualquier sustancia no purificada, y / o*
- *produjo imágenes de microscopía electrónica de cosas no purificadas en un cultivo celular.*

Para mayor claridad, tenga en cuenta que ya soy consciente de que, según la teoría del virus, un "virus" requiere células huésped para replicarse, y no solicito registros que describan la replicación de un "virus" sin células huésped.

Además, yo no estoy solicitando los registros de pacientes privados o registros que describen un supuesto "virus" flotando en el vacío; Simplemente solicito registros que

describan su purificación (separación de todo lo demás en la muestra del paciente, según las prácticas estándar de laboratorio para la purificación de otras cosas pequeñas). Tenga en cuenta también que mi solicitud no se limita a los registros que fueron creados por o en cualquiera de los organismos, instituciones antes nombradas o que pertenecen al trabajo realizado en / por ellos . Más bien, mi solicitud incluye cualquier registro que coincida con la descripción anterior, por ejemplo (pero no limitado a) cualquier estudio revisado por pares publicado y escrito por cualquier persona, en cualquier lugar, alguna vez que haya sido descargado o impreso por los antes citados y se haya utilizado como evidencia de un "virus" causante de enfermedades. Tenga en cuenta que a pesar del hecho de que la purificación es un paso esencial (pero no suficiente) para probar la existencia de un "virus" que causa una enfermedad, hasta la fecha, 53 instituciones en todo el mundo no han proporcionado o citado tales registros, por lo tanto, a mi conocimiento no existen tales registros y si existen no puedo acceder a ellos hasta que se me proporcione una cita o URL. Por lo tanto, si algún registro coincide con la descripción anterior de los registros solicitados y está actualmente disponible para el público en otro lugar, proporcione suficiente información sobre cada registro para que pueda identificar y acceder a cada uno con certeza (es decir, título, autor (es), fecha, revista, donde el público pueda acceder a ella). Proporcione las URL siempre que sea posible."

La Ley N° 18.381 en su artículo 13 exige que las solicitudes de información deben ser claras respecto a la información que se solicita. Los términos de lo consultado, no logran ser comprendidos en su cabalidad, lo cual dificulta dar respuesta a lo petitionado. Las solicitudes deben establecer con precisión a qué información se solicita acceder, no a qué información no se solicita acceder. Tampoco corresponde en esta vía ingresar en discusiones sobre las opiniones del peticionante.

En segundo lugar, corresponde aclarar que el Ministerio de Salud Pública no es custodio, ni de estudios ni de informes de otras instituciones y organismos, como lo son la UDELAR o el GACH, donde la interesada debería dirigir sus consultas.

Sí es posible afirmar, que de acuerdo a lo informado por la Dirección de Laboratorios del Ministerio, la muestra del paciente contiene genes de las células de la persona que se realiza el hisopado y de contener virus, contienen genes del virus, en este caso SARS

CoV2 y que su purificación se realiza a través de un proceso automatizado que utiliza reactivos y perlas magnéticas donde se separa el ARN del virus, que es el que se busca.

En virtud de lo expuesto, corresponde dar respuesta al peticionante en los términos del presente informe.



DOCUMENTO COMPLETO

IDOC

Actuación

Fecha Creación: 07/06/2021 14:40
Usuario Creación: MARIA DEL HUERTO CORRADO
Dependencia: 12/001/1.51 Dpto. De Secretaría Y Acuerdos
Finalizada Por: Gustavo Cardoso, Maria del Huerto Corrado
Adjuntos: 1
001-3-2902-2020 RESPUESTA PARCIAL ACCESO A LA INFORMACIÓN MARIA BETTINA GALO - MJB.pdf

Firmado Por: MARÍA del HUERTO CORRADO SCARCELA
Fecha Firma: 07/06/2021 14:41

Firmado Por: GUSTAVO CARDOSO MUÑOZ
Fecha Firma: 07/06/2021 17:25

Ministerio de Salud Pública

Dirección General de Secretaría

VISTO: la solicitud de información pública efectuada por la Sra. María Bettina Galo Viegas, al amparo de lo dispuesto por la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008;

RESULTANDO: que la peticionante solicita información sobre: i) todos los estudios y/o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los Centros para el Control y la Prevención de Enfermedades del M.S.P. y/ o privados integrantes o no del Grupo Asesor Científico Honorario (GACH), sin excepción, a cargo de la pandemia Covid-19, UDELAR, Facultad de Ciencias, Facultad de Química, Facultad de Medicina y el Departamento de Genética de la Facultad de Medicina, la Agencia para Sustancias y cualquier otra dependencia público o privado, o público-privada (Laboratorios de investigación); y ii) información sobre cada registro que describan la purificación de cualquier “virus- COVID -19” para poder identificar y acceder a cada uno con certeza;

CONSIDERANDO: I) que en merito a lo informado por la División Servicios Jurídicos, corresponde acceder a lo peticionado con excepción de la información solicitada que no se ajusta a los requisitos normativos, debiendo existir una descripción clara de la información requerida, así como cualquier dato que facilite su localización, rigiendo para ello lo dispuesto en el artículo 13 de la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008;

II) que de acuerdo a lo dispuesto por el artículo 16 de la citada disposición legal, el acto que resuelva la petición debe emanar del jerarca máximo del Inciso o quien posea facultades delegadas al efecto;

ATENTO: a lo precedentemente expuesto y a lo establecido por Resolución Ministerial N° 38/991 de 22 de enero de 1991;

LA DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE SECRETARÍA

en ejercicio de las atribuciones delegadas

RESUELVE:

- 1º) Autorízase el acceso a la información en forma parcial, en referencia a la solicitud efectuada por la Sra. María Bettina Galo Viegas, al amparo de lo dispuesto por la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008.
- 2º) Notifíquese a la parte interesada a través de Secretaría de la Dirección General de Secretaría. Pase al Departamento de Comunicaciones para su publicación en la página web Institucional. Cumplido, archívese.

Ref. N° 001-3-2902-2021

VC

Se otorgó N° de Res. DIGESE 377-2021

Date of admittance: 03/05/2021 13:23
Place of Entry: Legal Notarial Division
Document data
Holders: LEGAL SERVICES DIVISION
Summary: ACCESS TO PUBLIC INFORMATION
MARIA BETTINA GALO VIEGAS
Number of Performances: 11
Document type fields
Origin: 12/001 / 1.511
Payroll Origin:
Job:
Reference:
12/001/3/2902/2021
FULL IDOC DOCUMENT

TO THE GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF THE SECRETARIAT

Exp. Ref. N ° 12/001/3/2902/2021

Upon request for access to public information, Mrs. María Galo requests:

“Description of the requested records:

“All studies and / or reports in possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the MSP and / or PRIVATE members or not of the GACH -without exception- in charge of the Covid19 Pandemic, UDELAR, Faculty of Sciences, Faculty of Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine and the Department of Genetics of the Faculty of Medicine, the Agency for Substances and any other public or private, or public-private agency (Laboratorios de research): THAT describe the purification of any "COVID-19 viruses" (including "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1" and any other "variant") (by maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; also sometimes by some people like "isolation"), directly from a sample taken from a sick human being, where the patient sample was NOT first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells, also known as cells Vero; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies / reports where the researchers were unable to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured a non-purified sample or other non-purified substance, and / or
- performed an amplification test (that is, a PCR test) on all RNA from a patient sample or cell culture, or genetic material from any non-purified substance, and / or
- Sequenced total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any non-purified substance, and / or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a culture mobile.

For the sake of clarity, note that I am already aware that according to the theory of

virus, a "virus" requires host cells to replicate, and I do not request records that describe the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Also, I am not requesting private patient records or records that describe a supposed "virus" floating in a vacuum; I simply request records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, according to standard laboratory practices for purification of other things little).

Also note that my request is not limited to records that were created by or in any of the agencies, institutions named above or that belong to the work done in / by them. Rather, my request includes any record that matches the description above, for example (but not limited to) any peer-reviewed study published and written by any person, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by the cited above and has been used as evidence of a "virus" that causes diseases.

Note that despite the fact that purification is a step essential (but not sufficient) to prove the existence of a "virus" that causes a disease, to date, 53 institutions worldwide have not provided or cited such records, therefore, to my knowledge there are no such records and if they exist I cannot access them until a quote or URL is provided to me. For the

Therefore, if any record matches the previous description of the requested records and is currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that you can identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author (s), date, journal, where the public can access her). Provide URLs whenever possible. "

Law No. 18,381 in its article 13 requires that requests for information must be clear regarding the information requested. The terms of what was consulted, no manage to be fully understood, which makes it difficult to respond to what petitioned. Requests must establish precisely what information is requests to access, not what information is not requested to access. Nor does it correspond to In this way, enter into discussions about the opinions of the petitioner.

Secondly, it is appropriate to clarify that the Ministry of Public Health is not custodian, neither of studies nor of reports of other institutions and organizations, as They are the UDELAR or the GACH, where the interested party should direct their inquiries.

Yes, it is possible to affirm that according to the information provided by the Laboratories Directorate from the Ministry, the patient's sample contains genes from the person's cells that swabbing is performed and if they contain viruses, they contain virus genes, in this case SARS CoV2 and that its purification is carried out through an automated process that uses reagents and magnetic beads where the RNA of the virus is separated, which is what it is looking for.

By virtue of the foregoing, it is the responsibility of the petitioner to respond in the terms of this report.

Performance

Creation date: 06/07/2021 14:40
User Creation: MARIA DEL HUERTO CORRADO
Unit: 12/001 / 1.51 Department of Secretariat and Agreements
Finished By: Gustavo Cardoso, Maria del Huerto Corrado
Attachments: 1
001-3-2902-2020 PARTIAL RESPONSE ACCESS TO THE
INFORMATION MARIA BETTINA GALO - MJB.pdf
Signed By: MARÍA del HUERTO CORRADO SCARCELA
Signature Date: 06/07/2021 14:41
Signed By: GUSTAVO CARDOSO MUÑOZ
Signature Date: 06/07/2021 17:25
FULL IDOC DOCUMENT
Document: 12/001/3/2902/2021 Action: 10 32
Ministry of Public Health
General Directorate of Secretariat

SEEN: the request for public information made by Mrs. María Bettina Galo Viegas, under the provisions of Law No. 18,381 of 17 October 2008;

RESULTING: that the petitioner requests information on: i) all the studies and / or reports in possession, custody or control of the Centers for the Control and Prevention of Diseases of the MSP and / or private members or not of the Honorary Scientific Advisory Group (GACH), without exception, in charge of the Covid-19 pandemic, UDELAR, Faculty of Sciences, Faculty of Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine and the Department of Genetics of the Faculty of Medicine, the Agency for Substances and any other public or private, or public-private agency (Research laboratories); and ii) information on each record that describe the purification of any "COVID-19 viruses" in order to identify and access each one with certainty;

CONSIDERING:

I) that based on the information provided by the Division Legal Services, it corresponds to access the request with the exception of the requested information that does not conform to regulatory requirements, there must be a clear description of the required information, as well as any data that facilitates its location, governing for this the provided in Article 13 of Law No. 18,381 of October 17, 2008;

II) that in accordance with the provisions of article 16 of the aforementioned legal provision, the act that resolves the petition must emanate from the maximum hierarchy of the subsection or whoever has powers delegated to that effect;

ATTENTION: to the foregoing and to what is established by Ministerial Resolution No. 38/991 of January 22, 1991;
001-3-2902-2020 PARTIAL RESPONSE ACCESS TO INFORMATION MARIA BETTINA GALO - MJB.pdf
Document: 12/001/3/2902/2021 Action: 10 33

THE GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF THE SECRETARIAT

in exercise of delegated powers

RESOLVES:

1º) Authorize access to information partially, in reference to the request made by Mrs. María Bettina Galo Viegas, at under the provisions of Law No. 18,381 of October 17, 2008.

2º) Notify the interested party through the Secretariat of the General Directorate of Secretariat. Go to the Department of Communications for publication on the Institutional website. Accomplished, file.

Ref. No. 001-3-2902-2021
VC

001-3-2902-2020 PARTIAL RESPONSE ACCESS TO INFORMATION MARIA BETTINA GALO - MJB.pdf

Document: 12/001/3/2902/2021 Action: 10 34
Res. No. DIGESE 377-2021 was granted
Document: 12/001/3/2902/2021 Action: 11 36

Fecha de ingreso: 27/05/2021 10:59
Lugar de Ingreso: División Jurídico Notarial

Datos del documento

Titulares: DIVISION SERVICIOS JURIDICOS
Resumen: ACCESO A LA ADMINISTRACION PUBLICA
MARIA BETTINA GALO
Cantidad de Actuaciones: 9

Campos del tipo de documento

Procedencia: 12/001/1.511
Nómina Procedencia:
Oficio:



DOCUMENTO COMPLETO

IDOC

Actuación

Fecha Creación: 23/06/2021 9:27
Usuario Creación: MIRIAM OLID
Dependencia: 12/001/1.51 Dpto. De Secretaría Y Acuerdos
Finalizada Por: Gustavo Cardoso, Miriam Olid
Adjuntos: 1
001-1-3590-2021 PRORROGA ACCESO A LA INFORMACIÓN Maria Bettina Galo.pdf

Firmado Por: MIRIAN GRICEL OLID PRESA
Fecha Firma: 23/06/2021 9:27

Firmado Por: GUSTAVO CARDOSO MUÑOZ
Fecha Firma: 23/06/2021 10:35

Ministerio de Salud Pública

Dirección General de Secretaría

VISTO: la solicitud de información pública efectuada por la Sra. María Bettina Galo Viegas, titular de la cédula de identidad N° xxxxxxxx, al amparo de lo dispuesto por la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008;

RESULTANDO: que la peticionante solicita información sobre: **1)** cantidad de infectados por el virus de la influenza (gripe) en cualquiera de sus variantes, en los años 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 y 2021, detallados por año; **2)** cantidad de ingresos por gripe y cualquier patología asociada a todos los CTI del Uruguay detallado por años; **3)** cantidad de fallecidos en cada uno de esos años por el virus de la influenza y todas sus variantes (gripe); **4)** cantidad de fallecidos por Sars-Cov.2 /Covid 19 en 2020 y 2021, detallados por años y meses; **5)** cantidad de vacunados en total, desde el comienzo de la vacunación contra el Sars-Cov.2 /Covid 19, detallando qué vacuna se dio, edad, sexo, departamento del domicilio y de la vacunación; **6)** cantidad de ingresos a CTI pos vacunación, indicando edad, sexo, dosis, vacuna dada y efecto adverso presentado; **7)** cantidad de positivos Covid pos vacunación, detallando edad, sexo, dosis y vacuna dada; **8)** cantidad de fallecidos pos vacunas, indicando sexo, edad, vacuna inoculada, dosis y efectos adversos que lo llevan a la muerte; **9)** sobre el RT-PCR: **9.1-** cuántos hisopados RT-PCR se han practicado desde el comienzo de la declaración de pandemia en Uruguay; **9.2-** a qué CT se realizaron los PCR desde el comienzo de la declaración de pandemia; **9.3-** a qué valor fueron adquiridos los Kit RT-PCR y a qué empresas, detallando país de origen; **9.4-** qué otros análisis se practican sobre la base de los PCR para determinar Covid 19; **10)** los estudios serológicos que se practican determinan la cantidad de anticuerpos contra el Sars-Cov.2 que tiene cada persona, esto significa que efectivamente la persona tiene inmunidad contra el SarsCov.2/Covid19; **11)** cuántos pacientes en Uruguay han dejado de ser atendidos a raíz del Covid19, motivos y patologías; **12)** que tratamiento se instruye al paciente con un RT-PCR positivo a Covid 19 en los centros de salud; **13)** existen en el mundo

otros tratamientos que se han investigado, publicado y corroborado por pares, que no son las vacunas Covid 19, se han estudiado para tratamientos contra el Covid: Ivermectina, Dióxido de Cloro, etc. y si la respuesta es no, por qué causa? **14)** quienes son todas las personas afectadas al GACH directa o indirectamente; **15)** quienes son las personas afectadas al tratamiento de la Pandemia en el Ministerio de Salud Pública, detallando especialidad, conocimiento, experiencia en patologías relacionadas; **16)** método por el que se aprueban las vacunas en general y en particular las de terapias génicas contra el Covid 19 en Uruguay; **17)** quiénes son los responsables de determinar en Uruguay la eficacia y seguridad de las vacunas Covid 19 y **18)** el Sars-Cov.2 ha sido aislado, secuenciado y purificado según método científico para elementos pequeños en Uruguay? si la respuesta es sí, indicar por quién, documentación al respecto, publicación, corroborado por pares (quiénes);

CONSIDERANDO: I) que se ha entendido necesaria una prórroga para recabar la información respectiva;

II) que corresponde en consecuencia prorrogar el plazo que alude el Artículo 15 de la Ley N° 18.381, a regir a partir del vencimiento del original;

ATENTO: a lo precedentemente expuesto y a lo establecido por Resolución Ministerial N° 38/991 de 22 de enero de 1991;

LA DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE SECRETARÍA

en ejercicio de las atribuciones delegadas

RESUELVE:

- 1º) Prorrógase el plazo que refiere el Artículo 15 de la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008, en referencia a la solicitud de la Sra. María Bettina Galo Viegas, titular de la cédula de identidad N° xxxxxxxxxx, por el máximo legal a partir del vencimiento del plazo original.
- 2º) Notifíquese a la parte interesada a través de Secretaría de la Dirección General de Secretaría. Cumplido, pase a la Dirección General de la Salud.

Ref. N° 001-3-3590-2021
MO

Se otorgó N° de Res. DIGESE 429-2021

**DOCUMENTO COMPLETO****IDOC****Actuación**

Fecha Creación: 19/07/2021 12:22
Usuario Creación: MARTIN THOMASSET
Dependencia: 12/001/1.511 División Jurídico Notarial
Finalizada Por: Martin Thomasset
Adjuntos: 1
Acceso. Galo. 3590.pdf

Firmado Por: MARTÍN LUIS THOMASSET LOUREIRO
Fecha Firma: 19/07/2021 12:23

A DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE SECRETARÍA

Exp. Ref. N° 3/3590/2021.-

Mediante acceso a la información pública, María Bettina Galo Viegas solicita la siguiente información:

1. Cantidad de infectados por el Virus de la Influenza (Gripe) en cualquiera de sus variantes en los años 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 , 2019, 2020, 2021, detallados por año.

Los datos se obtienen de la vigilancia centinela de infecciones respiratorias agudas graves (IRAG) en seis prestadores de salud hasta 2019 y ocho a partir de 2020 ubicados en todo el territorio nacional.

Tabla 1. Número de casos de influenza detectados en los centros de vigilancia centinela de IRAG. Uruguay, 2015-2021 (hasta semana epidemiológica 24).

Año	Número de casos
2015	40
2016	53
2017	117
2018	57
2019	47
2020	3
2021 (hasta SEPI 24)	0

Fuente: Departamento de Vigilancia en Salud.

2. Cantidad de ingresos por Gripe y cualquier patología asociada a todos los CTI del Uruguay detallado por años.

Consultadas la Dirección General del Sistema Nacional de Salud y la División Epidemiología, se responde que no se cuenta con dicha información discriminada.

3. Cantidad de muertos en cada uno de esos años por el virus de la Influenza y todas sus variantes (Gripe)

Fallecidos por Influenza (gripe) y Neumonía viral no especificada y Neumonía no especificada:

*Dpto de Estadísticas Vitales - DIGESA - MSP***Referencias Influenza [gripe] y neumonía (CIE 10: J09–J18)****Influenza (gripe)**

J09 -debida a virus de la influenza aviar identificado (Influenza A o H1N1)

J10- debida a otro virus identificado (Influenza B)(Parainfluenza)(gripe AH3)

J11- debida a virus no identificado (gripe)

Neumonías

J12.9 - viral no especificada

J18.9 - Neumonía no especificada

Causa	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
J09	0	9	2	8	7	1
J10	1	2	0	1	2	0
J11	2	5	5	3	4	0
J129	1	5	0	1	3	0
J189	1054	1278	1032	1024	1135	769

El Ministerio de Salud Pública no cuenta a la fecha con la información referente al año 2021, en la medida que el año se encuentra en curso y por ende sus resultados no están disponibles.

Se adjunta además enlace al siguiente informe: "Vigilancia de la Mortalidad por todas las causas Enero a julio 2015-2020" <https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/sites/ministerio-salud-publica/files/documentos/noticias/Informe%20preliminar%20de%20mortalidad%20global%20enero-julio.pdf>

4. Cantidad de muertos por Sars-Cov.2 /Covid19 en 2020 y 2021, detallados por años y meses.

El Ministerio de Salud Pública publica todos los datos disponibles sobre fallecimientos a través de los boletines epidemiológicos, disponibles en <https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/tematica/boletines-epidemiologicos> y también en la APP Coronavirus.

De cualquier forma, existe una revisión posterior a cargo de un comité de técnicos de la Dirección General de la Salud, cuyo último informe disponible (correspondiente a fines del año 2020) surge del siguiente enlace:

<https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/comunicacion/noticias/informe-epidemiologico-sobre-mortalidad-covid-19-del-31-diciembre-2020>

5. Cantidad de Vacunados en total desde el comienzo de la Vacunación contra el Sars-Cov.2 /Covid19. Detallando qué vacuna se dio, edad, sexo, departamento del domicilio y de la Vacunación.

Se cuenta a la fecha con la información que se adjunta, en función del departamento de vacunación (corresponde destacar que no se cuenta con departamento de domicilio).

Artigas

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	816	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	851	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	3.554	3.186	506	187	NA	NA	3.997	3.582	1.200	671
50 a 70 años	10	-	1.734	1.687	97	63	2	-	1.811	1.793	162	136
71 a 79 años	136	-	24	21	221	210	148	-	25	21	261	244
80 y más años	6	-	8	5	173	167	15	-	6	4	232	211
Total	152	-	5.320	4.899	1.813	627	165	-	5.839	5.400	2.706	1.262

Canelones

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	5327	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6030	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	26.829	22.419	2.714	1.711	NA	NA	30.304	25.547	8.810	6.166
50 a 70 años	559	-	12.929	13.533	976	635	597	-	13.894	14.615	162	136
71 a 79 años	1.473	-	124	105	1.200	1.153	1.784	-	146	122	1.492	244
80 y más años	151	-	16	2	1.523	1.557	218	-	26	4	2.565	2.601
Total	2.183	-	39.898	36.059	11.740	5.056	2.599	-	44.370	40.288	19.059	9.147

Cerro Largo

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	616	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	703	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	3.491	2.996	280	153	NA	NA	4.160	3.643	826	583
50 a 70 años	7	-	1.430	1.437	88	53	6	-	1.656	1.661	162	136
71 a 79 años	154	-	28	21	170	180	170	-	21	22	167	244
80 y más años	11	-	3	2	133	145	17	-	1	1	171	184
Total	172	-	4.952	4.456	1.287	531	193	-	5.838	5.327	2.029	1.147

Colonia

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	1491	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1672	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	6.813	6.017	987	412	NA	NA	7.618	6.877	2.529	1.641
50 a 70 años	12	-	3.573	3.468	329	232	4	-	3.642	3.631	162	136
71 a 79 años	37	-	7.198	6.981	1.548	1.350	18	-	7.323	7.295	2.059	244
80 y más años	2	-	4	3	425	414	3	-	4	4	721	699
Total	51	-	17.588	16.469	4.780	2.408	25	-	18.587	17.807	7.143	2.720

Durazno

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	771	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	863	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	4.383	4.100	340	202	NA	NA	4.925	4.658	832	625
50 a 70 años	0	-	1.864	1.835	87	55	0	-	1.928	1.900	162	136
71 a 79 años	4	-	22	18	392	373	2	-	17	14	450	244
80 y más años	9	-	46	37	1.025	965	4	-	36	29	1.279	1.221
Total	13	-	6.315	5.990	2.615	1.595	6	-	6.906	6.601	3.586	2.226

Flores

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	470	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	565	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	1.698	1.540	274	102	NA	NA	1.635	1.527	615	365
50 a 70 años	15	-	969	961	45	31	14	-	945	939	162	136
71 a 79 años	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	244
80 y más años	52	-	22	17	312	322	38	-	14	13	368	426
Total	67		2.689	2.518	1.101	455	52	-	2.594	2.479	1.710	1.171

Florida

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	852	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	885	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	4.237	3.850	611	230	NA	NA	4.338	3.994	1.298	794
50 a 70 años	8	-	2.304	2.277	127	65	0	-	2.172	2.146	162	136
71 a 79 años	17	-	22	22	596	571	17	-	20	19	553	244
80 y más años	0	-	2	2	309	306	3	-	9	2	393	383
Total	25	-	6.565	6.151	2.495	1.172	20	-	6.539	6.161	3.291	1.557

Lavalleja

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	577	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	627	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	3.171	2.863	287	149	NA	NA	3.550	3.296	819	609
50 a 70 años	0	-	1.875	1.926	99	68	1	-	1.845	1.925	162	136
71 a 79 años	3	-	22	17	499	516	2	-	32	28	443	244
80 y más años	0	-	3	3	209	206	1	-	3	0	264	257
Total	3	-	5.071	4.809	1.671	939	4	-	5.430	5.249	2.315	1.246

Maldonado

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	1465	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1686	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	10.852	9.141	1.012	606	NA	NA	11.570	9.915	2.780	2.013
50 a 70 años	7	-	5.019	5.176	284	203	7	-	5.008	5.143	162	136
71 a 79 años	20	-	55	50	855	887	32	-	53	42	936	244
80 y más años	0	-	0	0	387	420	0	-	2	0	658	737
Total	27	-	15.926	14.367	4.003	2.116	39	-	16.633	15.100	6.222	3.130

Montevideo

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	14917	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	16422	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	93.476	78.810	11.921	9.141	NA	NA	97.912	82.640	32.524	26.335
50 a 70 años	101	-	42.496	41.652	3.840	3.175	83	-	47.805	47.193	162	136
71 a 79 años	363	-	353	334	8.055	8.055	418	-	467	428	11.533	244
80 y más años	62	-	27	10	5.608	5.595	108	-	64	16	11.586	11.700
Total	526	-	136.352	120.806	44.341	25.966	609	-	146.248	130.277	72.227	38.415

Paysandú

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	1647	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1922	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	6.129	5.087	1.049	387	NA	NA	6.400	5.441	2.345	1.345
50 a 70 años	26	-	2.973	2.889	178	84	16	-	2.942	2.857	162	136
71 a 79 años	219	-	38	34	399	380	236	-	37	34	411	244
80 y más años	11	-	3	1	253	242	16	-	3	1	417	394
Total	256	-	9.143	8.011	3.526	1.093	268	-	9.382	8.333	5.257	2.119

Río Negro

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	742	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	838	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	2.991	2.583	418	123	NA	NA	3.112	2.715	866	439
50 a 70 años	17	-	1.542	1.465	85	44	11	-	1.345	1.290	162	136
71 a 79 años	244	-	28	26	184	169	150	-	19	18	160	244
80 y más años	10	-	0	0	177	159	8	-	1	1	150	140
Total	271	-	4.561	4.074	1.606	495	169	-	4.477	4.024	2.176	959

Rivera

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	1091	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1251	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	4.292	4.817	764	213	NA	NA	5.550	6.041	1.758	851
50 a 70 años	5	-	2.086	2.645	144	66	5	-	2.345	2.766	162	136
71 a 79 años	175	-	28	35	156	143	212	-	24	29	248	244
80 y más años	6	-	3	3	128	128	11	-	1	0	183	170
Total	186	-	6.409	7.500	2.283	550	228	-	7.920	8.836	3.602	1.401

Rocha

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	831	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	912	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	2.904	2.553	812	278	NA	NA	3.331	2.937	1.525	756
50 a 70 años	30	-	1.573	1.569	160	91	22	-	1.704	1.680	162	136
71 a 79 años	165	-	13	12	153	139	150	-	15	16	186	244
80 y más años	25	-	0	0	125	129	35	-	1	1	199	221
Total	220	-	4.490	4.134	2.081	637	207	-	5.051	4.634	2.984	1.357

Salto

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	1569	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1604	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	5.417	4.489	942	348	NA	NA	6.536	5.455	2.137	1.149
50 a 70 años	48	-	2.811	2.736	204	128	47	-	2.985	2.895	162	136
71 a 79 años	328	-	50	36	366	332	331	-	49	37	406	244
80 y más años	26	-	3	3	237	216	27	-	3	0	316	279
Total	402		8.281	7.264	3.318	1.024	405	0	9.573	8.387	4.625	1.808

San José

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	962	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1137	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	5.659	4.883	940	420	NA	NA	6.119	5.366	2.102	1.297
50 a 70 años	2	-	3.063	2.991	188	110	2	-	3.310	3.234	162	136
71 a 79 años	9	-	25	24	676	653	6	-	39	35	797	244
80 y más años	1	-	4	2	359	344	1	-	3	2	536	534
Total	12	-	8.751	7.900	3.125	1.527	9	-	9.471	8.637	4.734	2.211

Soriano

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	928	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1041	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	4.449	4.157	514	245	NA	NA	5.253	4.934	1.457	961
50 a 70 años	9	-	2.234	2.216	99	70	4	-	2.109	2.220	162	136
71 a 79 años	7	-	37	32	490	472	6	-	40	31	523	244
80 y más años	3	-	4	2	234	228	1	-	4	0	338	327
Total	19	-	6.724	6.407	2.265	1.015	11	-	7.406	7.185	3.521	1.668

Tacuarembó

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	1042	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1192	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	3.528	3.265	905	187	NA	NA	4.213	3.972	1.752	726
50 a 70 años	37	-	1.821	1.862	195	71	18	-	1.948	2.035	162	136
71 a 79 años	11	-	21	16	334	329	12	-	21	21	401	244
80 y más años	1	-	4	4	161	156	6	-	3	1	240	260
Total	49	-	5.374	5.147	2.637	743	36	-	6.185	6.029	3.747	1.366

Treinta y tres

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	499	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	568	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	1.992	1.926	305	121	NA	NA	2.331	2.237	690	368
50 a 70 años	0	-	1.006	1.160	58	29	1	-	1.108	1.270	162	136
71 a 79 años	5	-	19	17	204	185	2	-	15	13	228	244
80 y más años	0	-	2	1	133	124	1	-	2	1	166	156
Total	5	-	3.019	3.104	1.199	459	4	-	3.456	3.521	1.814	904

No especificado

Grupo etario	Masculino						Femenino					
	AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech		AstraZeneca		CoronaVac		Pfizer/BioNtech	
	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2	Dosis 1	Dosis 2
12 a 17 años	NA	NA	NA	NA	34.949	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	28.779	-
18 a 49 años	NA	NA	311.599	243.646	36.346	14.098	NA	NA	271.428	213.991	58.275	32.604
50 a 70 años	2.946	-	207.015	194.595	13.074	7.353	2.716	-	224.611	212.364	17.871	12.363
71 a 79 años	10.813	-	2.491	2.034	42.670	37.864	13.378	-	3.150	2.548	61.995	55.509
80 y más años	1.271	-	264	80	29.429	25.891	1.990	-	389	122	59.686	51.841
Total	15.030	-	521.369	440.355	156.468	85.206	18.084	-	499.578	429.025	226.606	152.317

NA: No aplica.

La vacuna de AstraZeneca se aplica a partir de los 90 días de la primera dosis.

El registro de departamento depende del sitio de la vacunación.

6. Cantidad de ingresos a CTI post vacunación, indicar detalladamente edad, sexo, dosis y vacuna dada, y qué efecto adverso presentaron.

Toda la información disponible a la fecha surge de los informes preliminares que pueden obtenerse del siguiente enlace:

<https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/comunicacion/noticias/tercer-estudio-efectividad-vacunacion-anti-sars-cov-2-uruguay-30-junio-2021>

Del mismo surgen todos los ingresos por COVID-19, con todas las variables requeridas, con excepción de la discriminación por sexo.

En relación al informe de efectos adversos, está siendo elaborado y será publicado a la brevedad.

7. Cantidad de positivos Covid post vacunación, detallando edad, sexo, dosis y vacuna dada.

Toda la información disponible a la fecha se encuentra en el siguiente informe:

<https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/comunicacion/noticias/tercer-estudio-efectividad-vacunacion-anti-sars-cov-2-uruguay-30-junio-2021>

8. Cantidad de muertos pos vacunas, indicando sexos, edades, vacunas inoculadas, a qué dosis fallecen y efectos adversos que lo llevan a la muerte.

Toda la información disponible a la fecha se encuentra en el siguiente informe:

<https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/comunicacion/noticias/tercer-estudio-efectividad-vacunacion-anti-sars-cov-2-uruguay-30-junio-2021>

No hay fallecimientos reportados en los cuales se haya demostrado que la vacuna COVID-19 es la causa de fallecimiento como efecto adverso.

9. 1. SOBRE EL RT-PCR: 91. Cuántos Hisopados RT-PCR se han practicado desde el comienzo de la declaración de pandemia en Uruguay.

La información surge del siguiente enlace <https://www.gub.uy/sistema-nacional-emergencias/pagina-embebida/visualizador-casos-coronavirus-covid-19-uruguay>

9. 2.A qué CT se realizaron los PCR desde el comienzo de la declaración de pandemia.

El Ministerio de Salud Pública no discrimina por CT. El valor del CT de cada kit de reactivo para interpretar el resultado de la PCR está establecido por el fabricante del kit.

9. 3.A qué valor fueron adquiridos los Kit RT-PCR y a qué empresas, detallar país de origen.

Se adjunta información remitida por el Departamento de Adquisiciones y Suministros:

Insumo	Determinación SARS COVID x PCR en tiempo real
Marca	GeneFinder - Elitech Group
País de Origen	No surge de los antecedentes
Precio unitario	\$ 218.350 (Iva 10 % Incluido)
Proveedor	CABINSUR S.A.
Fecha de compra	25/01/2021

Insumo	Determinación SARS COVID x PCR en tiempo real
Marca	Thermo Scientific Superscript
País de Origen	No surge de los antecedentes
Precio unitario	\$ 218.350 (Iva 10 % Incluido)
Proveedor	CABINSUR S.A.
Fecha de compra	28/10/2020

Insumo	Kits para diagnóstico en tiempo real QRT - PCR
Marca	Thermo Scientific Superscript
País de Origen	No surge de los antecedentes
Precio unitario	\$ 180.987,00 (Iva 22 % Incluido)
Proveedor	TAGACA S.R.L.
Fecha de compra	29/07/2020

9. 4. Qué otros análisis se practican sobre la base de los PCR para determinar Covid19?

No logra comprenderse la pregunta, por lo cual no es posible responder la misma.

10. Los estudios serológicos que se practican determinan la cantidad de anticuerpos contra el Sars-Cov.2 que tiene cada persona, esto significa que efectivamente la persona tiene inmunidad contra el Sars-Cov.2/Covid19?

La respuesta a la siguiente pregunta (y a otras de interés) surgen del siguiente enlace <https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/comunicacion/noticias/preguntas-respuestas-frecuentes-sobre-utilizacion-test-serologicos-para-covid>

11. Cuántos pacientes en Uruguay han dejado de ser atendidos a raíz del Covid19, motivos y patologías.

No existen denuncias en el Ministerio de Salud Pública en las cuales se haya constatado omisión de asistencia por causa de COVID-19.

12. Qué tratamiento se instruye al paciente con un RT-PCR positivo a Covid19 en los centros de Salud?

El que determine el médico tratante y su prestador de salud, dependiendo de las condiciones del caso en cuestión.

13. Existen en el mundo otros tratamientos que se han investigado, publicado y corroborado por pares, que no son las Vacunas Covid19, se han estudiado para tratamientos contra el Covid: Ivermectina, Dióxido de Cloro, etc. y si la respuesta es no, por qué causa?

La presente pregunta no requiere el acceso a información concreta, sino que se explique una decisión del Poder Ejecutivo. Corresponde destacar por lo tanto, que la Ley N° 18.381 establece un procedimiento para solicitar información pública en poder

de organismos públicos, lo cual no es equiparable al pedido de informes parlamentario previsto en el artículo 118 de la Constitución. La Ley N° 18.381 reglamenta un canal para solicitar información concreta, no para obligar a la Administración a que elabore análisis o informes técnicos a medida. No es un mecanismo de solicitud de opiniones, explicaciones, justificaciones o debates. Como destaca el artículo 14 de la Ley N° 18.381 *"Esta ley tampoco faculta a los peticionarios a exigir a los organismos que efectúen evaluaciones o análisis de la información que posean..."* Tampoco corresponde al Ministerio de Salud Pública generar citas, ni de estudios, ni de bibliografía. El Ministerio de Salud Pública dicta actos administrativos, recomendaciones e informes técnicos públicos, a cuyo contenido corresponde remitirse, no siendo la Ley N° 18.381 una vía para solicitar ampliación de los mismos.

14. Quiénes son todas las personas afectadas al GACH directa o indirectamente?

El GACH no funcionó en la órbita del Ministerio de Salud Pública sino de Presidencia de la República, razón por la cual no es posible acceder a lo solicitado.
<https://www.gub.uy/presidencia/politicas-y-gestion/integrantes-del-grupo-asesor-cientificohonorario-gach>

15. Quiénes son las personas afectadas al tratamiento de la Pandemia en el Ministerio de Salud Pública, especialidad, conocimiento, experiencia en patologías relacionadas.

Las decisiones para el "tratamiento" de la pandemia, dentro del Ministerio de Salud Pública, son adoptadas por los Sres. Ministro, Subsecretario, Director General de la Salud, Subdirectora General de la Salud, Director General del Sistema Nacional de Salud y Directora General de Coordinación, cuyos datos surgen del siguiente enlace:
<https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-salud-publica/institucional/estructura-del-organismo>

16. Método por el que se aprueban las vacunas en general y en particular las de terapias Génicas contra el Covid19 en Uruguay.

Se adjunta las siguientes respuestas, informadas en Expediente N° 3/6959/2020:

3. Describir el proceso de aceptación de una vacuna, describiendo el paso a paso desde que ingresa al país hasta que se inyecta en la persona.

En la hipótesis de que la vacuna ingrese por el Fondo Rotatorio de la Organización Panamericana de Salud/ Organización Mundial de la Salud, las mismas son precalificadas por el referido organismo internacional.

Por el contrario, si las vacunas provienen de un laboratorio farmacéutico, el Departamento de Medicamentos analiza el dossier de registro con los datos de calidad, seguridad y eficacia correspondientes, previo asesoramiento de la División Epidemiología. Por otra parte, se analiza el certificado de análisis de liberación del lote emitido por el país de origen.-

Por otra parte, el laboratorio Calmette realiza un control de identidad, lote, vencimiento, cantidad enviada y las condiciones de temperatura en las que arriba el producto, revisando que los monitores de temperatura de las vacunas no tengan alarmas durante el traslado de las mismas, almacenándolas en las cámaras de frío del laboratorio, desde donde se distribuyen a los puestos de vacunación, sean públicos o privados, siendo cada prestador quien debe mantener la cadena de frío hasta su aplicación.

4. ¿Qué análisis se le hacen a las vacunas en territorio nacional?

Conforme se responde en la pregunta anterior, el país revisa el certificado de análisis de liberación emitido por el país de origen, sin perjuicio del control del producto efectuado desde el ingreso al país hasta su efectiva administración, en lo referido a la individualización, lotes, vencimientos, conservación de cadena de frío, etc.

17. Quiénes son los responsables de determinar en Uruguay la eficacia y seguridad de las vacunas Covid19.

Fue contestado en la pregunta anterior.

18. El Sars-Cov2 ha sido Aislado, Secuenciado y Purificado según método científico para elementos pequeños en Uruguay? Si la respuesta es Sí, indicar por favor por quién, documentación al respecto, publicación, corroborado por pares (quiénes)

No tenemos conocimiento que en el país se haya cultivado el SARS CoV 2 ya que exige condiciones de bioseguridad con las cuales el país no cuenta.

Se eleva, sugiriendo hacer lugar parcial a lo solicitado, en función del alcance del presente informe.



DOCUMENTO COMPLETO

IDOC

Actuación

Fecha Creación: 20/07/2021 9:43
Usuario Creación: MIRIAM OLID
Dependencia: 12/001/1.51 Dpto. De Secretaría Y Acuerdos
Finalizada Por: Gustavo Cardoso, Miriam Olid
Adjuntos: 1
001-3-3590-2021 respuesta parcial ACCESO A LA INFORMACIÓN MARIA GALO.pdf

Firmado Por: MIRIAN GRICEL OLID PRESA
Fecha Firma: 20/07/2021 9:43

Firmado Por: GUSTAVO CARDOSO MUÑOZ
Fecha Firma: 20/07/2021 17:26

Ministerio de Salud Pública

Dirección General de Secretaría

VISTO: la solicitud de información pública efectuada por la Sra. María Bettina Galo Viegas, titular de la cédula de identidad N° xxxxxxxx al amparo de lo dispuesto por la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008;

RESULTANDO: que la peticionante solicita información sobre: **1)** cantidad de infectados por el virus de la influenza (gripe) en cualquiera de sus variantes, en los años 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 y 2021, detallados por año; **2)** cantidad de ingresos por gripe y cualquier patología asociada a todos los CTI del Uruguay detallado por años; **3)** cantidad de fallecidos en cada uno de esos años por el virus de la influenza y todas sus variantes (gripe); **4)** cantidad de fallecidos por Sars-Cov.2 /Covid 19 en 2020 y 2021, detallados por años y meses; **5)** cantidad de vacunados en total, desde el comienzo de la vacunación contra el Sars-Cov.2 /Covid 19, detallando qué vacuna se dio, edad, sexo, departamento del domicilio y de la vacunación; **6)** cantidad de ingresos a CTI pos vacunación, indicando edad, sexo, dosis, vacuna dada y efecto adverso presentado; **7)** cantidad de positivos Covid post vacunación, detallando edad, sexo, dosis y vacuna dada; **8)** cantidad de fallecidos post vacunas, indicando sexo, edad, vacuna inoculada, dosis y efectos adversos que lo llevan a la muerte; **9)** sobre el RT-PCR: **9.1-** cuántos hisopados RT-PCR se han practicado desde el comienzo de la declaración de pandemia en Uruguay; **9.2-** a qué CT se realizaron los PCR desde el comienzo de la declaración de pandemia; **9.3-** a qué valor fueron adquiridos los Kit RT-PCR y a qué empresas, detallando país de origen; **9.4-** qué otros análisis se practican sobre la base de los PCR para determinar Covid 19; **10)** los estudios serológicos que se practican determinan la cantidad de anticuerpos contra el Sars-Cov.2 que tiene cada persona, esto significa que efectivamente la persona tiene inmunidad contra el SarsCov.2/Covid19; **11)** cuántos pacientes en Uruguay han dejado de ser atendidos a raíz del Covid19, motivos y patologías; **12)** que tratamiento se instruye al paciente con un

RT-PCR positivo a Covid 19 en los centros de salud; **13)** existen en el mundo otros tratamientos que se han investigado, publicado y corroborado por pares, que no son las vacunas Covid 19, se han estudiado para tratamientos contra el Covid: Ivermectina, Dióxido de Cloro, etc. y si la respuesta es no, por qué causa? **14)** quienes son todas las personas afectadas al GACH directa o indirectamente; **15)** quienes son las personas afectadas al tratamiento de la pandemia en el Ministerio de Salud Pública, detallando especialidad, conocimiento, experiencia en patologías relacionadas; **16)** método por el que se aprueban las vacunas en general y en particular las de terapias génicas contra el Covid 19 en Uruguay; **17)** quiénes son los responsables de determinar en Uruguay la eficacia y seguridad de las vacunas Covid 19 y **18)** el Sars-Cov.2 ha sido aislado, secuenciado y purificado según método científico para elementos pequeños en Uruguay? si la respuesta es sí, indicar por quién, documentación al respecto, publicación, corroborado por pares (quiénes);

CONSIDERANDO I) que en merito a lo informado por la División Servicios Jurídicos, corresponde acceder a lo petitionado con excepción de aquella información que no se ajusta a los requisitos normativos, la Ley 18.381 establece un procedimiento para solicitar información pública en poder de organismos públicos, reglamenta un canal para solicitar información concreta, no para obligar a la Administración a que elabore análisis o informes técnicos a medida. No es un mecanismo de solicitud de opiniones, explicaciones, justificaciones o debates, como destaca el artículo 14 de la mencionada norma, estableciendo que “esta Ley tampoco faculta a los peticionantes a exigir a los organismos que efectúen evaluaciones o análisis de la información que poseen, salvo aquellos que por sus cometidos institucionales deban producir”;

II) que de acuerdo a lo dispuesto por el artículo 16 de la citada disposición legal, el acto que resuelva la petición debe emanar del jerarca máximo del Inciso o quien posea facultades delegadas al efecto;

ATENTO: a lo precedentemente expuesto y a lo establecido por Resolución Ministerial N° 38/991 de 22 de enero de 1991;

LA DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE SECRETARÍA
en ejercicio de las atribuciones delegadas

RESUELVE:

- 1º) Autorízase el acceso a la información en forma parcial, en referencia a la solicitud efectuada por la Sra. María Bettina Galo Viegas, titular de la cédula de identidad N° xxxxxxxx, al amparo de lo dispuesto por la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008.
- 2º) Notifíquese a la parte interesada a través de Secretaría de la Dirección General de Secretaría. Pase al Departamento de Comunicaciones para su publicación en la página web Institucional. Cumplido, archívese.

Ref. N° 001-3-3590-2021

AA

Se otorgó N° de Res. DIGESE 555-2021